

EXISTENCE OF MILD SOLUTIONS FOR NONLOCAL CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR FRACTIONAL NEUTRAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY

V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu

Abstract. In this article, we study the existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay. The results are obtained by using the Banach contraction principle. Finally, an application is given to illustrate the theory.

1 Introduction

The theory of fractional differential equations is emerging as an important area of investigation since it is richer in problems in comparison with corresponding theory of classical differential equations. In fact, such models can be considered as an efficient alternative to the classical nonlinear differential models to simulate many complex processes. Recently, it has been proved that the differential models involving derivatives of fractional order arise in many engineering and scientific disciplines as the mathematical modeling of systems and processes in many fields, for instance, physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, electrodynamics of complex medium, polymer rheology, and so on. One can see the monographs of Kilbas et al. [13], Miller and Ross [16], Podlubny [21], Lakshmikantham et al. [14]. Recently, some authors focused on fractional functional differential equations in Banach spaces [1, 2, 5, 7–9, 15, 17–19, 22–24, 26–33].

There exist an extensive literature of differential equations with nonlocal conditions. The result concerning the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to abstract Cauchy problems with nonlocal initial conditions was first formulated and proved by Byszewski, see [3, 4]. On the other hand, Hernandez, [10, 11], study the existence of mild, strong and classical solutions for the nonlocal neutral partial functional differential equation with unbounded delay. Since the appearance of this paper, several papers have addressed the issue of existence and uniqueness results for

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A08; 34K37; 34K40.

Keywords: fractional neutral evolution equations; nonlocal Cauchy problem; mild solutions; analytic semigroup; Laplace transform; probability density.

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

various types of nonlinear differential equations. In [19], Guérékata discussed the existence of mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions. Related to this matter, we cite among others works, [6, 25]. Motivated by physical applications, Byszewski studied [4] the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence on initial data of solutions to the nonlocal Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + g(t, x_t), \quad t \in [\sigma, T] \\ x_0 &= \varphi + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n}),\end{aligned}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup of linear operators; $t_i \in [\sigma, T]$; $x_t \in C([-r, 0] : X)$ and $q : C([-r, 0] : X)^n \rightarrow X$, $f : [\sigma, T] \times C([-r, 0] : X) \rightarrow X$ are appropriate functions. Recently, [32] Zhou studied the nonlocal Cauchy problem of the following form

$$\begin{aligned}{}^c D_t^q(x(t) - h(t, x_t)) + Ax(t) &= f(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, b] \\ x_0(\vartheta) + g(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n})(\vartheta) &= \varphi(\vartheta), \quad \vartheta \in [-r, 0],\end{aligned}$$

where ${}^c D_t^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < q < 1$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < a$, $a > 0$. A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $T(t)_{t \geq 0}$ of operators on E , $f, h : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow E$ and $g : \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are given functions satisfying some assumptions, $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ and define x_t by $x_t(\vartheta) = x(t + \vartheta)$, for $\vartheta \in [-r, 0]$.

Motivated by the above works, in this article, we study the existence of mild solutions for nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional neutral evolution equations with infinite delay modeled in the form

$${}^c D_t^q(x(t) + f(t, x_t)) = Ax(t) + g(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, b] \quad (1.1)$$

$$x_0 = \varphi + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n}) \in \mathcal{B}, \quad (1.2)$$

${}^c D_t^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < q < 1$, A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators $T(t)$ on a Banach space X . The history $x_t : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ given by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ belongs to some abstract phase space \mathcal{B} defined axiomatically, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \dots < t_n \leq b$, $q : \mathcal{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $f, g : [0, b] \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$ are appropriate functions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first recall recent results in the theory of fractional differential equations and introduce some notations, definitions and lemmas which will be used throughout the papers [32, 33]. Let A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of uniformly bounded linear operators on X . Let $0 \in \rho(A)$, where $\rho(A)$ is the resolvent set of A . Then for $0 < \eta \leq 1$, it is possible to define the fractional power A^η as a closed linear operator on its domain $D(A^\eta)$. For analytic semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, the following properties will be used.

(i) There is a $M \geq 1$ such that

$$M = \sup_{t \in [0, +\infty)} |T(t)| < \infty,$$

(ii) for any $\eta \in (0, 1]$, there exists a positive constant C_η such that

$$|A^\eta T(t)| \leq \frac{C_\eta}{t^\eta}, \quad 0 < t \leq b.$$

We need some basic definitions and properties of the fractional calculus theory which will be used for throughout this paper.

Definition 1. The fractional integral of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function f is defined as

$$I^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\gamma}} ds, \quad t > 0, \gamma > 0,$$

provided the right side is point-wise defined on $[0, \infty)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function.

Definition 2. The Riemann-Liouville derivative of order γ with the lower limit zero for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as

$${}^L D^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t \frac{f(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-n}} ds, \quad t > 0, n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

Definition 3. The Caputo derivative of order γ for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be written as

$${}^C D^\gamma f(t) = {}^L D^\gamma \left(f(t) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(0) \right), \quad t > 0, n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

Remark 4. (i) If $f(t) \in C^n[0, \infty)$, then

$${}^C D^\gamma f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-n}} ds = I^{n-\gamma} f^{(n)}(t), \quad t > 0, n-1 < \gamma < n,$$

(ii) The Caputo derivative of a constant is equal to zero.

(iii) If f is an abstract function with values in X , then integrals which appear in Definitions 2 and 3 are taken in Bochner's sense.

We will herein define the phase space \mathcal{B} axiomatically, using ideas and notation developed in [12]. More precisely, \mathcal{B} will denote the vector space of functions defined from $(-\infty, 0]$ into X endowed with a seminorm denoted as $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ and such that the following axioms hold:

(A) If $x : (-\infty, b) \rightarrow X$ is continuous on $[0, b]$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, then for every $t \in [0, b]$ the following conditions hold:

- (i) x_t is in \mathcal{B} .
- (ii) $\|x(t)\| \leq H\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}}$.
- (iii) $\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(t) \sup\{\|x(s)\| : 0 \leq s \leq t\} + M(t)\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}$,
where $H > 0$ is a constant; $K, M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, $K(\cdot)$ is continuous, $M(\cdot)$ is locally bounded, and $H, K(\cdot), M(\cdot)$ are independent of $x(\cdot)$.

(A₁) For the function $x(\cdot)$ in (A), x_t is a \mathcal{B} -valued continuous function on $[0, b]$.

(B) The space \mathcal{B} is complete.

Example 5. The Phase Space $C_r \times L^p(h, X)$.

Let $r \geq 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $h : (-\infty, -r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative, measurable function which satisfies the conditions (g-5) – (g-6) in the terminology of [12]. Briefly, this means that g is locally integrable and there exists a non-negative, locally bounded function $\eta(\cdot)$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ such that $h(\xi + \theta) \leq \eta(\xi)h(\theta)$ for all $\xi \leq 0$ and $\theta \in (-\infty, -r) \setminus N_\xi$, where $N_\xi \subseteq (-\infty, -r)$ is a set with Lebesgue measure zero. The space $C_r \times L^p(h, X)$ consists of all classes of functions $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ such that φ is continuous on $[-r, 0]$ and is Lebesgue measurable, and $h\|\varphi\|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable on $(-\infty, -r)$. The seminorm in $C_r \times L^p(h, X)$ defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} := \sup\{\|\varphi(\theta)\| : -r \leq \theta \leq 0\} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-r} h(\theta)\|\varphi(\theta)\|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p}.$$

The space $\mathcal{B} = C_r \times L^p(h, X)$ satisfies the axioms (A), (A₁) and (B). Moreover, when $r = 0$ and $p = 2$, we can take $H = 1$, $K(t) = 1 + \left(\int_{-t}^0 h(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/2}$ and $M(t) = \eta(-t)^{1/2}$, for $t \geq 0$ (see [12, Theorem 1.3.8] for details).

For additional details concerning phase space we refer the reader to [12].

The following lemma will be used in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 6. [32, 33] *The operators \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} have the following properties:*

- (i) For any fixed $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{T}(t)$ and $\mathcal{S}(t)$ are linear and bounded operators, i.e., for any $x \in X$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)x\| \leq M\|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{S}(t)x\| \leq \frac{qM}{\Gamma(1+q)}\|x\|.$$

- (ii) $\{\mathcal{T}(t), t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\mathcal{S}(t), t \geq 0\}$ are strongly continuous.

- (iii) For every $t > 0$, $\mathcal{T}(t)$ and $\mathcal{S}(t)$ are also compact operators if $T(t)$, $t > 0$ is compact.

3 Existence Results

In this section we study the existence of mild solutions of the system (1.1)-(1.2). In order to define the concept of mild solution for the system (1.1)-(1.2), by comparison with the fractional differential equations given in [32, 33], we associate system (1.1)-(1.2) to the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & \mathcal{F}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0, \varphi) + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n})(0)) - f(t, x_t) \\ & - \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} A \mathcal{S}(t-s) f(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s) g(s, x_s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(t) &= \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\theta) T(t^q \theta) d\theta, \quad \mathcal{S}(t) = q \int_0^\infty \theta \xi_q(\theta) T(t^q \theta) d\theta, \\ \xi_q(\theta) &= \frac{1}{q} \theta^{-1-\frac{1}{q}} \varpi_q\left(\theta^{-\frac{1}{q}}\right) \geq 0, \\ \varpi_q(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} \theta^{-qn-1} \frac{\Gamma(nq+1)}{n!} \sin(n\pi q), \quad \theta \in (0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and ξ_q is a probability density function defined on $(0, \infty)$, that is

$$\xi_q(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \theta \in (0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \xi_q(\theta) d\theta = 1.$$

In the sequel we introduce the following assumptions.

(H₁) $q : \mathcal{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous and exist positive constants $L_i(q)$ such that

$$\|q(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \dots, \psi_n) - q(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_n)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) \|\psi_i - \varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

for every $\psi_i, \varphi_i \in B_r[0, \mathcal{B}]$.

(H₂) The function $f(\cdot)$ is $(-A)^\vartheta$ -valued, $f : I \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [D((-A)^{-\vartheta})]$, the function $g(\cdot)$ is defined on $g : I \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$, and there exist positive constants L_f and L_g such that for all $(t_i, \psi_j) \in I \times \mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A)^\vartheta f(t_1, \psi_1) - (-A)^\vartheta f(t_2, \psi_2)\| &\leq L_f(|t_1 - t_2| + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{B}}), \\ \|g(t_1, \psi_1) - g(t_2, \psi_2)\| &\leq L_g(|t_1 - t_2| + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\mathcal{B}}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7. In the rest of this section, M_b and K_b are the constants $M_b = \sup_{s \in [0, b]} M(s)$, $K_b = \sup_{s \in [0, b]} K(s)$, and $N_{(-A)^\vartheta f}$, N_f , N_g represent the supreme of the functions $(-A)^\vartheta f$, f and g on $[0, b] \times B_r[0, \mathcal{B}]$.

Theorem 8. *Let conditions (\mathbf{H}_1) and (\mathbf{H}_2) be hold. If*

$$\rho = \left[(Mb + K_bMH) \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + (M_b + K_bM)N_q + (K_b + 1)N_f + \frac{K_bN_{(-A)^{\beta}f}\Gamma(1 + \beta)C_{1-\beta}b^{q\beta}}{\beta\Gamma(1 + \beta q)} + \frac{K_bN_gMq}{\Gamma(1 + q)(1 + a)^{1-q_1}}b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right] < r$$

and

$$\Lambda = \max \left\{ M_b \left(M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + K_b\theta \right), K_b \left(M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + K_b\theta \right) \right\} < 1,$$

where

$$\theta = \left(M \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + L_f \left((M + 1)\|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| + \frac{\Gamma(1 + \beta)C_{1-\beta}b^{q\beta}}{\beta\Gamma(1 + \beta q)} \right) + \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1 + q)(1 + a)^{1-q_1}}b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right).$$

Then there exists a mild solution of the system (1.1)-(1.2) on I .

Proof. Consider the space $S(b) = \{x : (-\infty, b] \rightarrow X : x_0 \in \mathcal{B}; x \in C([0, b] : X)\}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_{S(b)} := M_b \|x_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} + K_b \|x\|_b.$$

Let $Y = B_r[0, S(b)]$, we define the operator $\Gamma : Y \rightarrow S(b)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma x(t) &= \mathcal{I}(t)(\varphi(0) + f(0, \varphi) + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n})(0)) - f(t, x_t) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} A \mathcal{I}(t-s) f(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{I}(t-s) g(s, x_s) ds, \\ (\Gamma u)_0 &= \varphi + q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n}). \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, b]$.

Using an similar argument on the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10], we will prove the Γ is continuous. Next we will prove that $\Gamma(Y) \subset Y$.

Direct calculation gives that $(t-s)^{q-1} \in L^{\frac{1}{1-q_1}}[0, t]$, for $t \in J$ and $q_1 \in [0, q)$. Let $a = \frac{q-1}{1-q_1} \in (-1, 0)$. By using Holder inequality, and (\mathbf{H}_2) , according to [32, 33], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} g(s, x_s)| ds &\leq \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{q-1}{1-q_1}} ds \right)^{1-q_1} N_g \\ &\leq \frac{N_g}{(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From the inequality (3.2) and Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following inequality [32, 33]

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} \mathcal{S}(t-s)g(s, x_s)| ds &\leq \frac{Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)} \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1}g(s, x_s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{N_g Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

According to [33], we obtain the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} A \mathcal{S}(t-s)f(s, x_s)| ds &\leq \int_0^t |(t-s)^{q-1} A^{1-\beta} \mathcal{S}(t-s)A^\beta f(s, x_s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{N_{(-A)^\beta f} \Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Let $x \in Y$ and $t \in [0, b]$, we observe from axiom (A) of the phase spaces, we obtain that $\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K_b \|x\|_b + M_b \|x_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq r$ this implies that $x_t \in B_r[0, \mathcal{B}]$, and this case

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma x(t)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{T}(t)\|(\|\varphi(0)\| + \|f(0, \varphi)\| + \|q(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, x_{t_3}, \dots, x_{t_n})(0)\|) \\ &\quad + \|f(t, x_t)\| + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|A \mathcal{S}(t-s)\| \|f(s, x_s)\| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} A \mathcal{S}(t-s) \|g(s, x_s)\| ds \\ &\leq M(H\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + N_f + N_q) + N_f + \frac{N_{(-A)^\beta f} \Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} \\ &\quad + \frac{N_g Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\|(\Gamma u)_0\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + N_q. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.5)-(3.6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma x(t)\|_{S(b)} &\leq M_b \|(\Gamma x)_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} + K_b \|x\|_b \\ &\leq (M_b + K_b M H) \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{B}} + (M_b + K_b M) N_q + (K_b + 1) N_f \\ &\quad + \frac{K_b N_{(-A)^\beta f} \Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} + \frac{K_b N_g Mq}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \\ &= \rho < r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

which prove that $\Gamma(x) \in Y$.

In order to prove that Γ satisfies a Lipschitz condition, $u, v \in Y$. If $t \in [0, b]$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Gamma u(t) - \Gamma v(t)\| \\
& \leq \|\mathcal{F}(t)(q(u_{t_1}, u_{t_2}, u_{t_3}, \dots, u_{t_n})(0) - q(v_{t_1}, v_{t_2}, v_{t_3}, \dots, v_{t_n})(0))\| \\
& \quad + \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| \|(-A)^{\vartheta} f(0, u_0) - (-A)^{\vartheta} f(0, v_0)\| \\
& \quad + \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| \|(-A)^{\vartheta} f(t, u_t) - (-A)^{\vartheta} f(t, v_t)\| \\
& \quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|(-A)^{1-\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(t-s)\| \|(-A)^{\vartheta} f(s, u_s) - (-A)^{\vartheta} f(s, v_s)\| ds \\
& \quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \|\mathcal{S}(t-s)\| \|g(s, u_s) - g(s, v_s)\| ds \\
& \leq M \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) K_b \|u_{t_i} - v_{t_i}\|_{\mathcal{B}} + (M+1) \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| L_f(K_b \|u - v\|_b + M_b \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \\
& \quad + L_f(\|u - v\|_b + M_b \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} \\
& \quad + M L_g(K_b \|u - v\|_b + M_b \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \frac{M q}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \\
& \leq M_b \left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + L_f \left((M+1) \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| + \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{M q}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right) \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
& \quad + K_b \left(\sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + L_f \left((M+1) \|(-A)^{-\vartheta}\| + \frac{\Gamma(1+\beta) C_{1-\beta} b^{q\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1+\beta q)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{M q}{\Gamma(1+q)(1+a)^{1-q_1}} b^{(1+a)(1-q_1)} \right) \|u - v\|_b \\
& \leq M_b \theta \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} + K_b \theta \|u - v\|_b.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, a simple calculus prove that

$$\|(\Gamma u)_0 - (\Gamma v)_0\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) M_b \|u_0 - v_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} + K_b \|u - v\|_b.$$

Finally we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Gamma u - \Gamma v\|_{S(b)} &\leq M_b \left\| (\Gamma u)_0 - (\Gamma v)_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{B}} + K_b \|\Gamma u - \Gamma v\|_b \\
&\leq M_b \left(M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + \theta \right) \|u_0 - v_0\| + K_b \left(M_b \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(q) + \theta \right) \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&\leq \Lambda \|u - v\|_{S(b)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which infer that Γ is a contraction on Y . Clearly a fixed point of Γ is the unique mild solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is complete. \square

4 An example

In this section, we consider an application of our abstract results. At first we introduce the required technical framework. In the rest of this section, $X = L^2([0, \pi])$, $\mathcal{B} = C_0 \times L^p(g, X)$ is the space introduced in Example 5 and $A : D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$ is the operator defined by $Ax = x''$, with domain $D(A) = \{x \in X : x'' \in X, x(0) = x(\pi) = 0\}$. The operator A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup on X . Then

$$A = - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad x \in D(A),$$

where $e_n(\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sin(n\xi)$, $0 \leq \xi \leq \pi$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly A generates a compact semigroup $T(t)$, $t > 0$ in X and is given by

$$T(t)x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Consider the following fractional partial differential system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha} \left(u(t, \xi) + \int_{-\infty}^t \int_0^\pi b(t-s, \eta, \xi) u(s, \eta) d\eta ds \right) \\
= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} u(t, \xi) + \int_{-\infty}^t a_0(s-t) u(s, \xi) ds, \quad (t, \xi) \in I \times [0, \pi],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \in [0, b], \tag{4.2}$$

$$u(\theta, \xi) = \phi(\theta, \xi) + \sum_{i=0}^n L_i u(t_i + \xi), \quad \theta \leq 0, \quad \xi \in [0, \pi]. \tag{4.3}$$

where $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial t^\alpha}$ is a Caputo fractional partial derivative of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, n is a positive integer, $0 < t_i < a$, $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are fixed numbers.

In the sequel, we assume that $\varphi(\theta)(\xi) = \phi(\theta, \xi)$ is a function in \mathcal{B} and that the following conditions are verified.

- (i) The functions $a_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $L_g := \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(a_0(s))^2}{g(s)} ds \right)^{1/2} < \infty$.
- (ii) The functions $b(s, \eta, \xi)$, $\frac{\partial b(s, \eta, \xi)}{\partial \xi}$ are measurable, $b(s, \eta, \pi) = b(s, \eta, 0) = 0$ for all (s, η) and

$$L_f := \max\left\{ \left(\int_0^\pi \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^\pi g^{-1}(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial^i}{\partial \xi^i} b(\theta, \eta, \xi) \right)^2 d\eta d\theta d\xi \right)^{1/2} : i = 0, 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Defining the operators $f, g : I \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(\psi)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^\pi b(s, \eta, \xi) \psi(s, \eta) d\eta ds,$$

$$g(\psi)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^0 a_0(s) \psi(s, \xi) ds.$$

Under the above conditions we can represent the system (4.1)-(4.3) into the abstract system (1.1)-(1.2). Moreover, f, g are bounded linear operators with $\|f(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}, X)} \leq L_f$, $\|g(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}, X)} \leq L_g$. Therefore, (\mathbf{H}_1) and (\mathbf{H}_2) are fulfilled. Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 8 are satisfied. The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 8.

Proposition 9. *For b sufficiently small there exist a mild solutions of (4.1)-(4.3).*

References

- [1] B. de Andrade and J.P.C. dos Santos, *Existence of solutions for a fractional neutral integro-differential equation with unbounded delay*, *Elect. J. Diff. Equ.* **90** (2012), 1-13. [MR2928627](#). [Zbl 1261.34061](#).
- [2] M.M. El-Borai, *Semigroups and some nonlinear fractional differential equations*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **149** (2004) 823-831. [MR2033165](#)(2004m:26004). [Zbl 1046.34079](#).
- [3] L. Byszewski, *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **162** (1991), 494-505. [MR1137634](#)(92m:35005). [Zbl 0748.34040](#).
- [4] L. Byszewski and H. Akca, *On a mild solution of a semilinear functional-differential evolution nonlocal problem*, *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.* **10**(3) (1997), 265-271. [MR1468121](#)(98i:34118). [Zbl 1043.34504](#).

- [5] R.C. Cascaval, E.C. Eckstein, C.L. Frota and J.A. Goldstein, *Fractional telegraph equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002), 145-159. [MR1944342\(2003k:35239\)](#). [Zbl 1038.35142](#).
- [6] Y.K. Chang, J.J. Nieto and W.S. Li, *Controllability of semilinear differential systems with nonlocal initial conditions in Banach spaces*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **142** (2009), 267-273. [MR2525790\(2010h:93006\)](#). [Zbl 1178.93029](#).
- [7] J.P.C. Dos Santos and C. Cuevas, *Asymptotically almost automorphic solutions of abstract fractional integro-differential neutral equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 960-965. [MR2659119](#). [Zbl 1198.45014](#).
- [8] J.P.C. Dos Santos, C. Cuevas and B. de Andrade, *Existence results for a fractional equation with state-dependent delay*, Adv. Diff. Equ. **2011** (2011), 1-15. Article ID 642013. [MR2780667\(2012a:34197\)](#). [Zbl 1216.45003](#).
- [9] J.P.C. Dos Santos, V. Vijayakumar and R. Murugesu, *Existence of mild solutions for nonlocal cauchy problem for fractional neutral integro-differential equation with unbounded delay*, Commun. Math. Anal **14** (1) (2013), 59-71. [MR3040881](#). [Zbl 0622.6991](#).
- [10] E. Hernández and H. Henríquez, *Existence results for partial neutral functional-differential equations with unbounded delay*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **221** (2) (1998), 452-475. [MR1621730\(99b:34127\)](#). [Zbl 0915.35110](#).
- [11] E. Hernández, J.S. Santos, and K.A.G. Azevedo, *Existence of solutions for a class of abstract differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011) 2624-2634. [MR2776514](#). [Zbl 1221.47079](#).
- [12] Y. Hino, S. Murakami and T. Naito, *Functional-differential equations with infinite delay*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1473. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. [MR1122588\(92g:34088\)](#). [Zbl 0732.34051](#).
- [13] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations* In: North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. **204**. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam 2006. [MR2218073\(2007a:34002\)](#). [Zbl 1092.45003](#).
- [14] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela and J.V. Devi, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Scientific Publishers Cambridge, Cambridge (2009). [MR0710486](#). [Zbl 1188.37002](#).
- [15] J.A. Machado, C. Ravichandran, M. Rivero and J.J Trujillo, *Controllability results for impulsive mixed-type functional integro-differential evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Fixed Point Theo. Appl. **66** (2013), 1-16.

- [16] K.S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York (1993). [MR1219954](#) (94e:26013). [Zbl 0789.26002](#).
- [17] G.M. Mophou, *Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), 1604-1615. [MR2577561](#). [Zbl 1187.34108](#).
- [18] G.M. Mophou and G.M. N'Guerekata, *Existence of mild solution for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, *Semigroup Forum* **79** (2) (2009), 322-335. [MR2538728](#)(2010i:34006). [Zbl 1180.34006](#).
- [19] G.M. N'Guerekata, *A Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with nonlocal conditions*, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **70** (5) (2009), 1873-1876. [MR2492125](#)(2010d:34008). [Zbl 1166.34320](#).
- [20] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983. [MR0710486](#)(85g:47061). [Zbl 0516.47023](#).
- [21] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications.*, Academic Press, San Diego (1999). [MR1658022](#)(99m:26009). [Zbl 0924.34008](#).
- [22] C. Ravichandran and D. Baleanu, *Existence results for fractional neutral functional integrodifferential evolution equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces*, *Adv. Diff. Equ.* **2013** (1), 1-12.
- [23] C. Ravichandran and J.J. Trujillo, *Controllability of impulsive fractional functional integro-differential equations in Banach spaces*, *J. Funct. Space. Appl.* **2013** (2013), 1-8, Article ID-812501.
- [24] R. Sakthivel, N.I. Mahmudov and Juan. J. Nieto, *Controllability for a class of fractional-order neutral evolution control systems*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2012), 10334-10340. [MR2921786](#). [Zbl 1245.93022](#).
- [25] S. Sivasankaran, M. Mallika Arjunan and V. Vijayakumar, *Existence of global solutions for impulsive functional differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **4**(2) (2011), 102-114. [MR2783836](#). [Zbl pre05902645](#).
- [26] V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu, *Nonlocal controllability of mixed Volterra-Fredholm type fractional semilinear integro-differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst., Series B: Applications & Algorithms*, **20** (4) (2013), 485-502. [MR3135009](#). [Zbl 1278.34089](#).

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **9** (2014), 117 – 129

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [27] V. Vijayakumar, C. Ravichandran and R. Murugesu, *Approximate controllability for a class of fractional neutral integro-differential inclusions with state-dependent delay*, Nonlinear stud. **20** (4) (2013), 511-530. [MR3154619](#).
- [28] V. Vijayakumar, A. Selvakumar and R. Murugesu, *Controllability for a class of fractional neutral integro-differential equations with unbounded delay*, Appl. Math. Comput. **232** (2014), 303-312.
- [29] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, *Existence and controllability results for fractional semi-linear differential inclusions*, Nonlinear Anal. RWA, **12** (2011), 3642-3653. [MR2832998](#). [Zbl 1231.34108](#).
- [30] J. Wang and Y. Zhou, *Mittag-Leffler-Ulam stabilities of fractional evolution equations*, Appl. Math. lett. **25** (2012), 723-728. [MR2875807](#). [Zbl 1246.34012](#).
- [31] Z. Yan, *Approximate controllability of partial neutral functional differential systems of fractional order with state-dependent delay*, Intern. J. Cont. **85** (8) (2012), 1051-1062. [MR2943689](#). [Zbl 06252485](#).
- [32] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao, *Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59** (2010), 1063-1077. [MR2579471\(2011b:34239\)](#). [Zbl 1189.34154](#).
- [33] Y. Zhou and F. Jiao, *Nonlocal Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **11** (2010), 4465-4475. [MR2683890\(2011i:34007\)](#). [Zbl 1260.34017](#).

V. Vijayakumar

Department of Mathematics,
Info Institute of Engineering,
Kovilpalayam, Coimbatore-641 107,
Tamilnadu, India.

E-mail: vijaysarovel@gmail.com

C. Ravichandran

Department of Mathematics,
KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology,
Arasur, Coimbatore - 641 407,
Tamilnadu, India.

E-mail: ravibirthday@gmail.com

R. Murugesu

Department of Mathematics,
SRMV College of Arts and Science,
Coimbatore - 641 020,
Tamilnadu, India.

E-mail: arjunmurugesu@gmail.com

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **9** (2014), 117 – 129

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>