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NICOLAE SICHEGA
PROF. PH.D., UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA
e-mail: nicolaesichigea@yahoo.com

LAURA VASILESCU
ASSOC. PROF. PH.D., UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA
e-mail: laura_giurca_vasilescu@yahoo.com

Abstract
The financial theory developed different categories of indicators - traditional and modern - in order to evaluate the firm’s value creation. The shareholders are directly interested by the value added in the company. The value creation is a complex process that implies correct decisions, common responsibility (managers and shareholders) and actions towards an efficient and profitable activity.

The traditional indicators of performance are not theoretically correlated with the value creation and in these circumstances, should be used appropriate financial indicators in order to reflect the value of the company. Therefore, the modern indicators used in the value based management framework offer a greater flexibility and efficiency and represent a good alternative for companies.

Such performance indicators used to measure the financial results correlated with shareholder wealth are the Economic Value Added (EVA), as a measure of internal performance and the Market Value Added (MVA) as a measure of external performance of the company. Starting from the main deficiencies of the accounting indicators, the objective of this study is to present the relationship between EVA and MVA and their implications on firms' valuation. Thus, the presentation and analysis of these indicators - EVA and MVA - will underline the main features, their correlations, and influence factors but also the main advantage and disadvantage of each indicator, which will enable the managers to make the correct choice and subsequently the best decision regarding the performance measures.
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1. Introduction

Various drivers like globalization, increased competition, volatility of interest rate, foreign exchange fluctuations, different types of risks and the uncertainty caused by the international financial crisis, influence the financial markets and the activity of companies. Today's business environment has to face these challenges that could have a major impact on performance and efficiency of firms. These factors and the deficiencies of the traditional (accounting) indicators determined the managers to reanalyze the performance measures and to focus on value creation.

The corporate finances developed theories and indicators that have the main purpose to guide the financial decisions of the company and their consequences should be reflected on the firm’s value. But it should be taken into consideration that the analysis of the financial situation of the firm is based in principal on the accounting data which reflects the patrimony changes but also has the disadvantages to use historical date.

The financial theory stated that every company’s final purpose is to maximize the wealth of its shareholders. In the past, this ultimate aim has however been often partly ignored or at least misunderstood. Indicators like Return on Investment and Earnings per Share are used as the most important performance ratios in companies, although they do not theoretically correlate with the shareholder value creation.

In these circumstances, the Value Based Management seems to be the framework for value enhancement, the “fastest ticket” to shareholder wealth [14]. Incorporating indicators as Economic Value Added (EVA) and Market Value Added (MVA), the Value Based Management can represent the framework for value enhancement that guides the decision process, being an efficient instrument for financial planning, monitoring, and controlling.

In this study there are analyzed the correlations between EVA and MVA, their implications on firms' valuation, the main advantage and disadvantage of each indicator, which will enable the managers to take the best financial decision regarding the company’s performance and shareholder’s wealth.
2. Theoretical fundaments

The financial theories, which reveal the creation of value in the firm, present a wide range of indicators that can be grouped in: traditional (accounting) and modern (economic, stock exchange).

The accounting indicators represented the base of the analysis till the mid of '80s when the firms considered in principal the net profit and the earning per share as accounting indicators. Afterwards, the firms started to use indicators such as return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), the return on capital employed (ROCE) as performance measures but in fact, they do not reflect the economic return as long as they are based on assets’ value, that are influenced by inflation or other factors.

These accounting measures have been criticised as performance indicators, which can create shareholders wealth because they reflect historical situation, having a limited relevance in anticipating the future evolution, not being able to determine the reasons of registering high or low performance of a company [8], [12], [18], [16], [2], [6]. Besides, the profit or net income are considered in assessing the company performance based on accounting measures, not the cost of capital [10].

The economic indicators constituted a step forward because they take into consideration the risk. The profitability resulted is compared with the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) in order to measure the firm’s value.

The main purpose of any company is to enhance its stockholders wealth, so it is necessary to implement effective instruments, which are able to evaluate real value creation [11]. Thus, the concept of value management resulted from the necessity to indentify the real drivers of value.

There are various value based measures of performance but Economic Value Added and Market Value Added are nowadays used by for internal and external evaluation of firms’ performance [4].

The concept of economic value added (EVA) was introduced by Stewart and Stern (Stern Stewart & Company) on the late 1980’s. EVA is a method of measuring the economic value of a business after considering capital cost including debt cost and equity cost (Stewart 1991) which is in fact, the surplus value created on an investment [3].

The MVA is the difference between the total market value of the company and the economic capital [13] and from an investor’s point of view, MVA is the best final measure of a company’s value [4].

The calculation of the two indicators – EVA and MVA - will underline the main advantage and disadvantages of each of them, which will enable the managers to make the correct choice and subsequently to take the best decision regarding the performance measures.

3. Method and results

Economic value added is an estimate of true economic profit and it is a measure that can reflect the wealth of shareholders [15]. Conceptually, EVA - as a measure of value creation - is superior to accounting indicators because it considers the cost of capital and, therefore, the risk level of a firm. The accounting indicators (such as ROE, ROA, ROCE) fail to assess the economic return of a firm, because they are based mostly on the historical asset values.

EVA is defined as the net operating profit after taxes (NOPAT) minus the total costs of capital invested with formula:

$$EVA = NOPAT - (WACC \times Ce)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where:

- **WACC** = weighted average cost of capital, (calculated as: Cost of Equity x Proportion of equity in total capital + Cost of debt x Proportion of debt in total capital),
- **Ce** = capital employed (economic capital or capital invested) is the sum of equity and debt capital of the company.

The definition of EVA underlines three basic elements for its evaluation:
- the return on capital invested,
- the capital employed,
- the cost of capital.

A higher return compared to the cost of capital could increases the value of a company while a lower level of return could decrease its value. Therefore, EVA indicates that a company’s value can be increased in situation that the resulted returns are higher than the cost of capital (equity and debt). It means that EVA is positive then the company create value for its stockholders and negative when is not created value.

EVA is a measure that has a direct connection with a company’s market value.

The market value added (MVA) indicates the shareholders value creation. MVA is determined as difference between the total market value of the company and book value the economic capital, also named invested capital [7]:

$$MVA = Market\ Value \ of \ Company - Capital\ Employed$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
Market value of company is the sum of market value of debt and market value of equity. Capital employed (invested) is the sum of equity and debt capital of the company. By simplifying assumption that market and book value of debt are equal [9], the formula will be:

\[
\text{Market Value Added} = \text{Market Value of Equity} - \text{Book Value of Equity} \quad (3)
\]

Maximizing Market Value Added should be one of the primary objectives for any company interested on its shareholders’ welfare [5] and it can be obtained only when the firm earns a return in excess of the cost of capital [1]. Market value added is equal to present value of all future EVA [16], [6] (figure 1):

\[
\text{Market Value Added} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{EVA}_i}{(1+c)^i} \quad (4)
\]

where: c – discounted rate (WACC).

By increasing EVA a company increases its market value added, in fact, increases the difference between company’s value and the amount of capital invested in it [9].

\[\text{Premium Value}\]

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Value Added</th>
<th>Discounted Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\frac{EVA_1}{(1+c)} + \frac{EVA_2}{(1+c)^2} + \ldots</td>
<td>\frac{-EVA_1}{(1+c)} + \frac{-EVA_2}{(1+c)^2} + \ldots</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

\[\text{Discounted Value} \]

\[\text{Market Value Lost} = \text{Market Value of Equity} - \text{Premium Value} \]

**Figure 1. Relation between Market Value Added and future EVA [9]**

The relationship between EVA and MVA has its implications on valuation. EVA is a function of the relationship between a firm’s earnings and its cost of capital, and MVA is a function of the firm’s expected future EVA, which is direct related to earnings.

For analysis, we considered the financial statement for a listed firm (tier I) at the Bucharest Stock of Exchange (table no.1).

**Table 1. Financial Statement Data (thousand lei)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Statement Data</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Number of Shares on December 31</td>
<td>207839904</td>
<td>345939929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Face Value - lei -</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Social Capital</td>
<td>2078399</td>
<td>3459399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Equity</td>
<td>2353644</td>
<td>3869557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Debtors – due after more than one year</td>
<td>8256</td>
<td>2991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Capital Employed</td>
<td>2361900</td>
<td>3872548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Gross profit</td>
<td>385303</td>
<td>523451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Gross Operating Profit</td>
<td>396856</td>
<td>510196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Net Operating Profit after Taxes (NOPAT)*</td>
<td>333359</td>
<td>428565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Net Profit</td>
<td>315647</td>
<td>401405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Net profit to be distributed (to ordinary shareholders)</td>
<td>244412</td>
<td>287837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Average number of shares</td>
<td>206824808</td>
<td>278148979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Dividend per Share</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[9]
From the evolution of the book value information, it can be noticed an increase of the firm profit based on the same increase of the employed capital. As well, on the financial market it is registered an increase of the shares price.

From the calculation of indicators, which express the value creation, we can notice the following evolution (table no. 2):

### Table 2. Indicators calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Earnings per Share (EPS)*</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Return on Assets (ROA) - %</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>23.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) - %</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Return on Equity (ROE) - %</td>
<td>13.41</td>
<td>10.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ( \text{WACC} = \frac{\text{Rc}}{\text{C} + \text{D}} + \frac{\text{Rd}}{\text{C} + \text{D}} ) (%)</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. ( \text{Rc} = \frac{\text{D} \times \text{P}_0}{\text{P}_0} + g ) (%)</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>8.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. ( \text{b} = \text{proportion of capitalization} ) (%)</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. ( \text{g} = \text{b} \times \text{ROE} ) (%)</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. ( \text{d} = \text{nominal interest rate} ) - %</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. ( \text{Rd} = \text{d} (1 - \text{T}) ); where ( \text{T} = 16% )</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Economic Added Value (EVA)</td>
<td>165192</td>
<td>87132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Market Value Added</td>
<td>275267</td>
<td>98374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Total Shareholder Return - %</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *the firm does not have preferred shares.*

In the analyzed company, the high levels of the rates of return (ROA, ROCE, ROE) reflects a better use of resources in the company.

The evolution of the Economic Added Value (EVA) registered a decrease in 2014 compared to 2013 because the weighted average cost of the capital (WACC) increased (from 7.12% to 8.82%) and ROCE decreased in the analyzed period (from 14.11% to 11.07%).

The high levels of WACC are the result of financing the company in a high percentage (99%) by equity that generates a high cost.

A similar evolution can be noticed in the case of the indicator Market Value Added: the increase of the shares price in 2013 determined MVA to register a higher level compared to EVA. MVA registered lower value in 2014 because the firm have several issues of new share in that year.

In analysis of the performance indicators and their correlations, we should consider that EVA is a measure to evaluate internal performance while MVA is a measure for evaluating external performance [11].

As an important management indicator, EVA is a capital allocation instrument inside a company and one of the most precise measure of corporate performance, that encourages long-term perspective for managers and can transform corporate culture. EVA covers a wide range of managerial decisions, including strategic planning, and capital allocation. Therefore, a sustained increase of EVA will lead to an increase of the company's market value.
Despite these advantages, there are some disadvantages of using EVA: it is considered a tool to measure the performance on short-term because the periodical level of this indicator could not estimate properly the shareholders' value added due to inflation or other factors [17].

From an investor’s point of view, MVA is the best external measure of a company’s performance [16]. But MVA is not a performance metric like EVA, instead it is a wealth metric, a cumulative measure of corporate performance measuring the level of value a company has created for its investors and accumulated over time.

The MVA calculation can indicate how the company has maximized shareholder value and it offers a overview on the company's past, present and future use of capital. MVA measures the market assessment of firm’s value, while EVA measures shareholder value added in terms of real economic performance.

Firms can adopt different development strategies based on the profitability levels achieved but should be taking into consideration that EVA and MVA can be affected by the company's development strategy.

4. Conclusions

As performance indicator, EVA is superior to traditional indicators in assessing value creation and it covers a wide range of managerial decisions, including strategy, planning and capital allocation. Therefore, a sustained increase of EVA will increase the company's market value and its maximization can be settled as a target.

MVA, on the other hand, is simply the difference between the total market value of a company and its invested capital and this modern indicator assesses the value increase compared to the capital invested.

In the system based on value measurement, the market value added can represent the shareholder value creation (a wealth metric) and the economic value added is a performance metric, which reflects the real economic profits of a company.

In fact, the two performance indicators are correlated. Therefore, the best way to maximize MVA is to maximize EVA, which reflects the net earnings of the company in surplus to the charges for shareholders’ capital.

In the case of the studied firm (from Bucharest Stock of Exchange) if the analysis had been reduced only to the the accounting indicators (ROA, ROCE, ROE) the conclusion would have been that the firm used efficiently the employed capital. But the introduction of the capital risk suggests that, in fact, the value creation in conformity with the modern indicators EVA and MVA registered a decrease in 2014 compared to 2013. The dynamic of the two indicators - EVA and MVA – reflects the correlation between them considering the discounted value.

Using EVA and MVA has many practical advantages for the companies: they motivate managers to take measures for creation of shareholders’ value (being used a compensatory system in correlation with the results obtained), to adopt the best investment decisions and to identify opportunities for development.

Despite some limits, taking into account the relevance of modern performance indicators, many companies have adopted these as a part of a comprehensive and incentive management system that governs the financial decision process.

Nonetheless, EVA should not be considered the answer to all challenges concerning the value creation. Therefore, this indicator is not a general panacea, it can not solve the business problems, which should remain the financial manager’s responsibility. But in correlation with MVA, EVA offers a target for both internal and external decisions and an important tool to assess the firm’s value and shareholders’ wealth.
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