

AMENABLE EQUIVARIANT MAPS DEFINED ON A GROUPOID

MĂDĂLINA ROXANA BUNECCI

ABSTRACT. We prove the equivalence between the amenability of an equivariant map defined on a groupoid and the amenability of a semidirect product. Also, we give a generalization of the following well known result (J.P. Pier, 21.2 of [12]): if G is an amenable locally compact non-compact group, and m is an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$, then $m(f) = 0$ for every $f \in C_0(G)$. We establish a similar property of an approximate strongly invariant mean [1, Definition 3.1.24] for the map $x \mapsto (r(x), d(x))$, where r and d are the range, respectively the domain map, of a locally compact groupoid G with non-compact isotropy groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of amenability for groupoids was introduced in [13] and was extensively studied in [1]. In [1] a more general notion of amenability for equivariant maps was introduced. We shall establish that an equivariant map π from a Borel groupoid G to a Borel G -space S is amenable if and only if the semidirect product $S * G$ is amenable. We shall also extend from groups to groupoids the following well known result: if G is an amenable non-compact locally compact Hausdorff topological group endowed with a Haar measure ν , and m is an invariant mean on $L^\infty(G)$, then $m(f) = 0$ for all $f \in C_0(G)$, the space of complex-valued continuous functions on G vanishing at infinity [12, Section 21.1]. In this paper we shall consider the principal groupoid R associated with G , and a decomposition

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t)$$

of the Haar system λ on G over the principal groupoid R . We shall assume that there is an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ for the map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$, $\theta(x) = (r(x), d(x))$ with respect to $(\lambda, \beta, \mu \circ \alpha)$, where μ is a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system λ . This condition is equivalent to the amenability of θ and is weaker than the amenability of $r : G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$. We shall prove that there is a subsequence of $(g_n)_n$, still denoted $(g_n)_n$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi(x) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) = 0$$

for any compactly supported Borel bounded function φ on G and $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A07, 22A22.

Key words and phrases. Amenable map, amenable representation, locally compact groupoid, invariant mean.

This research was partially supported by the MEC grant At 127/2004.

In order to establish notation and to give the reader some essential information about groupoids and amenable maps, we include here a list of definitions that can be found in [9, 10, 13, 8, 1].

1.1. Groupoids and semi-direct products. We shall use the definition of a topological groupoid given by Renault in [13, Definition I.2.1]. For a groupoid G , $G^{(0)}$ will denote its *unit space* and $G^{(2)}$ the *set of the composable pairs*. Usually, elements of G will be denoted by letters as x , y , or z , and the elements of $G^{(0)}$ by letters such as u , v , or w . The *inverse map* is written $x \mapsto x^{-1} [: G \rightarrow G]$ and the *product map* is written $(x, y) \mapsto xy [: G^{(2)} \rightarrow G]$. The *range* and the *source* maps from G to $G^{(0)}$ will be denoted respectively by r and d . The *fibers of the range* and the *source maps* are denoted $G^u = r^{-1}(\{u\})$ and $G_v = d^{-1}(\{v\})$, respectively. More generally, given the subsets $A, B \subset G^{(0)}$, we define $G^A = r^{-1}(A)$, $G_B = d^{-1}(B)$, and $G_B^A = r^{-1}(A) \cap d^{-1}(B)$. The *reduction* of G is $G|_A = G_A^A$.

A *Borel groupoid* is a groupoid G endowed with a Borel structure, such that $G^{(2)}$ is a Borel set in the product structure $G \times G$, and the product map and the inverse map are Borel functions. We are exclusively concerned with analytic Borel structure.

A *topological groupoid* consists of a groupoid G and a topology compatible with the groupoid structure. This means that the product map and the inverse map are continuous functions, where $G^{(2)}$ has the induced topology from $G \times G$. We are concerned with topological groupoids which are second countable, locally compact, and Hausdorff. It was shown in [10] that measured groupoids (in the sense of [6, Definition 2.3]) may be assumed, with no loss of generality, to have locally compact topologies.

If X is a locally compact space, $C_c(X)$ denotes the space of complex-valued continuous functions with compact support. The *Borel sets* of a topological space are taken to be the elements of the σ -algebra generated by the open sets.

A *Haar system* on a locally compact groupoid G is a family of positive Radon measures on G , $\{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$, having the following properties [13, Definition I.2.2]:

- (1) For all $u \in G^{(0)}$, $\text{supp}(\lambda^u) = G^u$.
- (2) For all $f \in C_c(G)$

$$u \mapsto \int f(x) d\lambda^u(x) \quad [: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}]$$

is continuous.

- (3) For all $f \in C_c(G)$ and all $x \in G$,

$$\int f(y) d\lambda^{r(x)}(y) = \int f(xy) d\lambda^{d(x)}(y).$$

In the Borel setting, a system of measures $\{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ will be called *Borel Haar system* (in short *BHS*) on the Borel groupoid G if each λ^u has its support in G^u , is invariant in the sense of (3), is proper in the sense that there is a positive Borel function f_0 on G such that $\lambda^u(f_0) = 1$ for all u , and for all $f \geq 0$ Borel on G ,

$$u \mapsto \int f(x) d\lambda^u(x) \quad [: G^{(0)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}]$$

is a real-extended Borel map.

The image of λ^u by the inverse map $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is denoted λ_u .

If μ is a measure on $G^{(0)}$, then the measure $\nu = \int \lambda^u d\mu(u)$, defined by

$$\int f(y) d\nu(y) = \int \left(\int f(y) d\lambda^u(y) \right) d\mu(u), \quad f \geq 0 \text{ Borel},$$

is called the measure on G induced by μ . The image of ν by the inverse map $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is denoted ν^{-1} . The measure μ is said *quasi-invariant* if its induced measure ν is equivalent to its inverse ν^{-1} . If μ is a quasi-invariant measure on $G^{(0)}$ and λ is the measure induced on G , then the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\Delta = d\nu/d\nu^{-1}$ is called the *modular function of μ* .

A (left) *Borel G -space* is a Borel space S endowed with a Borel surjection $r : S \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ and a Borel map $(x, s) \mapsto xs$ from the space

$$G * S = \{(x, s) : d(x) = r(s)\}$$

to S , satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $r(xs) = r(x)$ for all $(x, s) \in G * S$, and $r(s)s = s$ for all $s \in S$.
- (2) If $(x_1, x_2) \in G^{(2)}$ and $(x_2, s) \in G * S$, then $(x_1 x_2)s = x_1(x_2 s)$.

The space

$$S * G = \{(s, x) : r(s) = r(x)\}$$

has a groupoid structure, called *semi-direct product*, with the following operations

$$(s, x)(x^{-1}s, y) = (s, xy), \quad (s, x)^{-1} = (x^{-1}s, x^{-1}).$$

Let us fix a BHS $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ on G . Let S be a Borel G -space. Then $\{\varepsilon_s \times \lambda^{r(s)} : s \in S\}$ is a BHS for $S * G$, where ε_s is the unit point mass at s . A positive measure μ on S is called *quasi-invariant with respect to ν* if the measure $\mu \circ \lambda$ on $S * G$ defined by

$$\int f d\mu \circ \lambda = \int f(s, x) d\lambda^{r(s)}(x) d\mu(s)$$

for all Borel nonnegative function on $S * G$, is equivalent to its image under the inverse map $(s, x) \rightarrow (x^{-1}s, x^{-1})$.

Let us denote by $B_b(G, \nu)$ the space of Borel functions f on G with

$$u \mapsto \int f d\lambda^u$$

bounded. $B_b(G, \nu)$ acts by convolution on $L^\infty(S)$ according to the formula

$$f * \varphi(s) = \int f(x)\varphi(x^{-1}s) d\lambda^{r(s)}(x), \quad f \in B_b(G, \nu), \varphi \in L^\infty(S).$$

1.2. Amenable Borel equivariant maps. Concerning amenability, we shall use definitions and notation from [1], which we recall below.

Let T, S be two Borel spaces and $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ a Borel map. A *Borel π -system* (or a *Borel system of measures for π*) is a family $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ of measures on $\pi^{-1}(\{s\})$ such that

- (1) For every nonnegative Borel function f on T ,

$$s \xrightarrow{\beta(f)} \int f(t) d\beta^s(t)$$

defines a Borel map.

- (2) There is a positive Borel function g on T such that $\beta(g) = 1$.

Condition (2) is equivalent to:

(*): Y is the union of an increasing sequence $(A_n)_n$ of Borel subsets such that $s \mapsto \beta^s(A_n)$ is bounded for all n , and $\beta^s \neq 0$ for all $s \in S$ [5, Lemme 3, p. 37].

Let β be such a π -system and c be a class of measures on S . We shall fix a positive measure μ belonging to c , and the space $L^\infty(S, c)$ will be identified to $L^\infty(S, \mu)$, which will be denoted by $L^\infty(S)$. We introduce the measure $\mu \circ \beta$ on T defined by

$$\int f d\mu \circ \beta = \int \beta(f) d\mu$$

for every nonnegative Borel function $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We define the Banach space $L^\infty(S, L^1(T, \beta))$ of $\mu \circ \beta$ -measurable functions $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $s \mapsto \int |f| d\beta^s$ is μ -essentially bounded, normed by

$$\|f\|_{\pi, 1} = \|\beta(|f|)\|_\infty,$$

and the set $L^\infty(S, L^1(T, \beta))_1^+$ of nonnegative elements with norm ≤ 1 in $L^\infty(S, L^1(T, \beta))$. We denote $L^\infty(T) = L^\infty(T, \mu \circ \beta)$. In this context a *mean* is a positive unital $L^\infty(S)$ -linear map [1, Definition 1.3.4]

$$m : L^\infty(T) \rightarrow L^\infty(S).$$

Now, let us fix two (left) Borel G -spaces T and S . A Borel map π from T to S is said to be *equivariant* if $r(\pi(t)) = r(t)$ for all $t \in T$, and $\pi(xt) = x\pi(t)$ for all $(x, t) \in G * T$. If $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ is an equivariant Borel map, then a Borel π -system $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ of measures is called *invariant* (or *G -invariant*) if $x^{-1}\beta^s = \beta^{x^{-1}s}$ for every $(s, x) \in S * G$, where $x^{-1}\beta^s$ is defined by

$$\int f(t) d(x^{-1}\beta^s)(t) = \int f(x^{-1}t) d\beta^s(t).$$

The Borel π -system $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ of measures is *G -quasi-invariant* if there is a Borel cocycle (i.e. a groupoid homomorphism) $q : T * G \rightarrow R_*^+$ such that

$$\int f(x^{-1}t)q(t, x) d\beta^s(t) = \int f(t) d\beta^{x^{-1}s}(t)$$

for all $(s, x) \in S * G$, and $f \geq 0$ Borel on T . It is not hard to see that if a π -system $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ is G -quasi-invariant and if μ is a quasi-invariant measure on S , then $\mu \circ \beta$ is a quasi-invariant measure on T . Conversely, any quasi-invariant measure on T can be obtained in that way.

An *invariant mean* for π is a mean $m : L^\infty(T) \rightarrow L^\infty(S)$ which satisfies the invariance property

$$m(f * \varphi) = f * m(\varphi)$$

for all $f \in B_b(G, \nu)$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty(T)$ [1, Definition 3.1.4]. The G -equivariant map $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ is called *amenable with respect to (λ, μ, β)* if there is an invariant mean $m : L^\infty(T) \rightarrow L^\infty(S)$ [1, Definition 3.2.1].

An *approximate strongly invariant mean* for π is a net $(g_i)_i$ satisfying the following [1, Definition 3.1.24]:

- (1) $\beta(g_i) = 1$ for all i .

(2) For all $f \in L^1(S * G)$,

$$\lim_i \int f(s, x) |g_i(x^{-1}t) - g_i(t)| d\lambda^{r(s)}(x) d\beta^s(t) d\mu(s) = 0.$$

The existence of invariant mean $m : L^\infty(T) \rightarrow L^\infty(S)$ for the G -equivariant map $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ is equivalent with the existence of an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_i)_i$ for π (Propositions 3.1.7, 3.1.21, 3.1.22 of [1]).

Also the amenability of the G -equivariant map $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ (with respect to (λ, μ, β)) is equivalent to the existence of an invariant measurable π -system of means (Propositions 3.1.27, 3.2.5 of [1]). An invariant measurable π -system of means is a family $m = \{m^s : s \in S\}$ of states (or means) m^s on $L^\infty(T, \beta^s)$ such that, for every $\phi \in L^\infty(T)$,

- (1) $s \mapsto m^s(\phi)$ is μ -measurable.
- (2) $x m^{x^{-1}s}(\phi) = m^s(\phi)$ for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. $(s, x) \in S * G$, where

$$x m^{x^{-1}s}(\phi) := m^{x^{-1}s}(t \mapsto \phi(xt)).$$

In [1] the amenability of a measured groupoid (G, λ, μ) (a groupoid G endowed with a Haar system λ and a quasi-invariant measure μ) was defined as the amenability of the range map with respect to (λ, μ) [1, Definition 3.2.8].

1.3. Groupoid representations. Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}(s)\}_{s \in S}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces indexed by a set S . Let us form the disjoint union

$$S * \mathcal{H} = \{(s, \xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{H}(s)\},$$

and let $p : S * \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S$ be the natural projection, $p(s, \xi) = s$. A pair $(S * \mathcal{H}, p)$ is called a *Hilbert bundle over S* . For each $s \in S$, the space $\mathcal{H}(s)$, which can be identified with $p^{-1}(\{s\}) = \{s\} \times \mathcal{H}(s)$, is called the *fibre over s* . A *section* of the bundle is a function $f : S \rightarrow S * \mathcal{H}$ such that $p(f(s)) = s$ for all $s \in S$. Given a section f , we may write $f(s) = (s, \hat{f}(s))$ for a uniquely determined element

$$\hat{f} \in \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}(s) = \left\{ \phi : S \rightarrow \bigcup_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}(s) : \phi(s) \in \mathcal{H}(s) \text{ for all } s \right\};$$

and given an element $\hat{f} \in \prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}(s)$ we may define a section $f(s) = (s, \hat{f}(s))$. Because of this link between sections of $S * \mathcal{H}$ and elements of $\prod_{s \in S} \mathcal{H}(s)$, we shall often abuse notation and write $f(s)$ instead of $\hat{f}(s)$. An *analytic Borel Hilbert bundle* is a Hilbert bundle $(S * \mathcal{H}, p)$, where $S * \mathcal{H}$ is endowed with an analytic Borel structure which satisfies the axioms:

- (1) A subset E is Borel if and only if $p^{-1}(E)$ is Borel.
- (2) There is a sequence $\{f_n\}_n$ of sections, called a *fundamental sequence*, such that
 - (a) each function $\tilde{f}_n : S * \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\tilde{f}_n(s, \xi) = (f_n(s), \xi)_{\mathcal{H}(s)}$, is Borel.
 - (b) for each pair (f_n, f_m) of fundamental sections, $s \mapsto (f_n(s), f_m(s))_{\mathcal{H}(s)}$ defines a Borel function.
 - (c) the functions $\{\tilde{f}_n\}_n$ and p separate the points of $S * \mathcal{H}$.

Let G be a groupoid and $\{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ be a Haar system on G . Let $G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert bundle. We write $\text{Iso}(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H})$ for

$$\{(u, L, v) : L : \mathcal{H}(v) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(u) \text{ Hilbert space isomorphism}\}$$

endowed with the weakest Borel structure so that the maps

$$(u, L, v) \mapsto (Lf_n(v), f_m(u))$$

are Borel for every n and m , where $(f_n)_n$ is a fundamental sequence for $G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$.

$\text{Iso}(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H})$ is a groupoid in the operations:

$$(u, L_1, v)(v, L_2, w) = (u, L_1 L_2, w), \quad (u, L, v)^{-1} = (v, L^{-1}, u).$$

A *unitary representation of G* consists of a quasi-invariant measure μ , a Hilbert bundle $G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$, a conull subset U of $G^{(0)}$, and a Borel map

$$L: G|_U \rightarrow \text{Iso}(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}|_U),$$

where $G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}|_U$ is the restriction of $G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$ to U , such that

- (1) $L(x) = (d(x), \hat{L}(x), r(x))$ and $\hat{L}(x): \mathcal{H}(d(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(r(x))$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. $x \in G|_U$.
- (2) $\hat{L}(u) = I_u$, the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}(u)$, for μ -a.e. $u \in U$.
- (3) $\hat{L}(x)\hat{L}(y) = \hat{L}(xy)$ for $\int (\lambda^u \times \lambda_u) d\mu(u)$ -a.e. $(x, y) \in G^{(2)}$.
- (4) $\hat{L}(x^{-1}) = \hat{L}(x)^{-1}$ for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. x .

If $(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ is a representation of the groupoid G , we abuse notation and write $L(x)$ instead of $\hat{L}(x)$. For any representation L , there is a Borel homomorphism $L_0: G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H})$ that preserves the unit space $G^{(0)}$, in the sense that

$$L_0(x) = (d(x), \hat{L}_0(x), r(x)),$$

where $\hat{L}_0(x): \mathcal{H}(d(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(r(x))$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism, such that L_0 agrees $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. with L .

2. THE EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN THE AMENABILITY OF AN EQUIVARIANT MAP DEFINED ON A GROUPOID AND THE AMENABILITY OF A SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT

We first set up some notation. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the space of the bounded operators on the Hilbert space H . Let $S * \mathcal{H}$ be an analytic Borel Hilbert bundle over S , and let $(f_n)_n$ be a fundamental sequence for this bundle. We write $S * \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for the set of $A: S \rightarrow \cup_{s \in S} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s))$ with the properties:

- (1) $A(s) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s))$ for all $s \in S$.
- (2) $s \mapsto \langle A(s)f_n(s), f_m(s) \rangle$ is Borel for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (3) $\sup_s \|A(s)\| < \infty$.

Let μ be a measure on S . We write $L^\infty(S, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ for the set of $A: S \rightarrow \cup_{s \in S} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s))$ for which

- (1) $A(s) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s))$ for μ -a.e. $s \in S$.
- (2) $s \mapsto \langle A(s)f_n(s), f_m(s) \rangle$ is μ -measurable for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (3) $\|s \mapsto \|A(s)\|_\infty < \infty$.

In order to prove the equivalence between the amenability of an equivariant map defined on a groupoid and the amenability of a semidirect product, we shall use the notion of amenable representation of a groupoid introduced in [4, Definition 2].

Definition 1. Let G be a Borel groupoid endowed with a BHS $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$. Let μ be a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system λ . A unitary representation $(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ of the groupoid G is said to be *amenable* if there exists an invariant family of states $\{M^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ on $L^\infty(G^{(0)}, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$, i.e.

- (1) $M^u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(u))^*$, $M^u \geq 0$, $M^u(I) = 1$ (this means that M^u is a state on $\mathcal{H}(u)$) for μ -a.e. $u \in G^{(0)}$.
- (2) $u \mapsto M^u(A(u))$ is μ -measurable for all $A \in L^\infty(G^{(0)}, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
- (3) $M^{r(x)}(L(x)AL(x^{-1})) = M^{d(x)}(A)$ for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. $x \in G$ and all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(d(x)))$.

This definition extends from groups to groupoids the notion of amenability for an arbitrary unitary representation, introduced by E.B. Bekka in [2].

In [4, Theorem 6] we characterized the amenable groupoids by amenability of all their unitary representations as follows.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a Borel groupoid endowed with a BHS $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$. Let μ be a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system λ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) (G, λ, μ) is amenable.
- (2) Every unitary representation $(G^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ of G is amenable.
- (3) The left regular representation Reg_μ is amenable.

We shall use this theorem for a semi-direct product $S * G$. If $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ is a BHS on G , then we endow $S * G$ with the BHS $\{\varepsilon_s \times \lambda^{r(s)} : s \in S\}$. A unitary representation $(S * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ of $S * G$ is amenable if there exists an invariant family of states $\{M^s : s \in S\}$ on $L^\infty(S, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$. This means that

- (1) $M^s \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s))^*$, $M^s \geq 0$, $M^s(I) = 1$ for μ -a.e. $s \in S$.
- (2) $s \mapsto M^s(A(s))$ is μ -measurable for all $A \in L^\infty(S, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
- (3) $M^s(L(s, x)AL(s, x)^{-1}) = M^{x^{-1}s}(A)$ for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. $(s, x) \in S * G$ and all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(x^{-1}s))$.

Let us define an analog of Reg_μ for an equivariant map. Consider a G -equivariant map $\pi : G \rightarrow S$. Here S is a (left) Borel G -space and also G is a Borel G -space by left multiplication. Let $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ be a G -invariant π -system of measures and μ be a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system on $S * G$. Let g_0 be a positive Borel function such that $\beta(g_0) = 1$. Let $\mathcal{H}(s) = L^2(\beta^s)$, to obtain a Hilbert bundle $S * \mathcal{H}$ on S . The Borel structure on $S * \mathcal{H}$ is given by a sequence of sections $(f_n)_n$ defined as follows: let $(h_n)_n$ be a sequence of bounded, non-negative Borel functions on G that separate points, and let us define $f_n : S \rightarrow S * \mathcal{H}$, by $f_n(s) = (s, \hat{f}_n(s))$, where $\hat{f}_n(s)(x) = h_n(x)g_0^{-1/2}(x)$, $x \in \pi^{-1}(\{s\})$. Let μ be a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system on $S * G$. Let us define a representation $(\mu, S * \mathcal{H}, R_{\pi, \mu})$ where

$$\hat{R}_{\pi, \mu}(s, x) : L^2(\beta^{x^{-1}s}) \rightarrow L^2(\beta^s)$$

is given by the formula

$$(\hat{R}_{\pi, \mu}(s, x)f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y).$$

Let us call this representation of $S * G$ the *unitary representation associated to π induced by the G -action*, and denote it $R_{\pi, \mu}$.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a Borel groupoid endowed with a BHS $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$. Let S be a (left) Borel G -space and let $\pi : G \rightarrow S$ be a G -equivariant map. Let $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ be a G -invariant π -system of measure and μ be a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system on $S * G$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\pi : G \rightarrow S$ is an amenable map with respect to (λ, μ, β) .
- (2) Every unitary representation $(S * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ of $S * G$ is amenable.
- (3) The representation $R_{\pi, \mu}$ is amenable.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2) Let $\{m^s : s \in S\}$ be an invariant measurable π -system of means with respect to (λ, μ, β) and let $(S * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ be a unitary representation of $S * G$. Since $(S * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ is a representation of $S * G$, there is a Borel homomorphism $L_0 : S * G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(S * \mathcal{H})$ that agrees $\mu \circ \lambda_S$ -a.e. with L , where $\lambda_S = \{\varepsilon_s \times \lambda^{r(s)} : s \in S\}$. We replace L by L_0 . Let us choose $C \in S * \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $C(s) \geq 0$ and $\|C(s)\|_1 = 1$ for all $s \in S$. For each $s \in S$ and each $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s))$, define $\phi_A^s : G^s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_A^s(x) &= \text{Tr}(AL(\pi(x), x)C(x^{-1}\pi(x))L(\pi(x), x)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(AL(s, x)C(x^{-1}s)L(s, x)^{-1}), \quad x \in G^s. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$|\phi_A^s(x)| \leq \|AL(s, x)C(x^{-1}s)L(s, x)^{-1}\|_1 \leq \|L(s, x)^{-1}AL(s, x)\| \|C(x^{-1}s)\|_1 \leq \|A\|.$$

Thus $\phi_A^s \in L^\infty(G, \beta^s)$. For each $s \in S$, define $M^s : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$M^s(A) = m^s(\phi_A^s), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(s)).$$

Let verify the invariance of the family of states $\{M^s : s \in S\}$. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{x^{-1}s}(A) &= m^{x^{-1}s}(y \mapsto \text{Tr}(AL(\pi(y), y)C(y^{-1}\pi(y))L(\pi(y), y)^{-1})) \\ &= m^s(y \mapsto \text{Tr}(AL(x^{-1}\pi(y), x^{-1}y)C(y^{-1}\pi(y))L(x^{-1}\pi(y), x^{-1}y)^{-1})) \\ &= m^s(y \mapsto \text{Tr}(AL(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})L(s, y)C(y^{-1}s)L(s, y)^{-1}L(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})^{-1})) \\ &= m^s(y \mapsto \text{Tr}(L(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})^{-1}AL(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})L(s, y)C(y^{-1}s)L(s, y)^{-1})) \\ &= m^s(\phi_{L(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})^{-1}AL(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})}^s) \\ &= M^s(L(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})^{-1}AL(x^{-1}s, x^{-1})) \\ &= M^s(L(s, x)AL(s, x)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. $x \in G$ and all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(d(x)))$.

The implication (2 \Rightarrow 3) is trivial.

(3 \Rightarrow 1) For each $s \in S$, let T_ϕ^s be the operator of multiplication by $\phi \in L^\infty(G, \beta^s)$, acting on $L^2(G, \beta^s)$. Then we have $T_1^s = 1$, $T_\phi^s \geq 0$ if $\phi \geq 0$, and

$$R_\pi(s, x)T_\phi^{x^{-1}s}R_\pi(s, x)^{-1} = T_{x\phi}^s \quad \text{for all } \phi \in L^\infty(G, \beta^s).$$

For each $s \in S$ define $m^s(\phi) = M^s(T_\phi^s)$, $\phi \in L^\infty(G, \beta^s)$. We have

$$m^s(x\phi) = M^s(T_{x\phi}^s) = M^s(R_\pi(s, x)T_\phi^{x^{-1}s}R_\pi(s, x)^{-1}) = M^{x^{-1}s}(T_\phi^{x^{-1}s}) = m^{x^{-1}s}(\phi)$$

for $\mu \circ \lambda$ -a.e. $(s, x) \in S * G$ and all $\phi \in L^\infty(G, \beta^{x^{-1}s})$. Thus $\{m^s : s \in S\}$ is an invariant measurable π -system of means with respect to (β, λ, μ) . \square

Corollary 4. *Let G be a Borel groupoid endowed with a BHS $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$. Let S be a (left) Borel G -space and let $\pi : G \rightarrow S$ be a G -equivariant map. Let $\beta = \{\beta^s : s \in S\}$ be a G -invariant π -system of measure and μ be a quasi-invariant measure for the Haar system $\lambda_S = \{\varepsilon_s \times \lambda^{r(s)} : s \in S\}$ on $S * G$. Then π is amenable with respect to (λ, μ, β) if and only if $(S * G, \lambda_S, \mu)$ is amenable.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2, $(S * G, \lambda_S, \mu)$ is amenable if and only if any unitary representation $(S * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ of $S * G$ is amenable. But applying Theorem 3, any unitary representation $(S * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu)$ of $S * G$ is amenable if and only if $\pi : G \rightarrow S$ is an amenable map with respect to (λ, μ, β) . \square

3. THE G -EQUIVARIANT MAP θ

Let G be a locally compact second countable groupoid and R be its associated principal groupoid. R is the image of G under the homomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ defined by $\theta(x) = (r(x), d(x))$. We endow R with the quotient topology induced from G by the map θ . This topology consists of the sets whose inverse images by θ in G are open. In particular, G and R are Borel groupoids. Moreover, R is the image of G under θ , so it is a σ -compact groupoid. G can be viewed as a (left) G -space acting on itself by translation. Also R can be viewed as a (left) G -space taking $r : R \rightarrow G^{(0)}$, $r(u, v) = u$, and the action

$$x(d(x), v) = (r(x), v),$$

defined from $G * R = \{(x, (u, v)) : d(x) = u\}$ into R . The map θ is G -equivariant because $\theta(xy) = (r(x), d(y)) = x(r(y), d(y)) = x\theta(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in G^{(2)} = G * G$.

Let $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ be a BHS on G . In other words λ is a G -invariant r -system of measures $(r : G \rightarrow G^{(0)})$.

Let us recall some results on the structure of the Haar systems, as developed by Renault in [14, Section 1], and also by Ramsay and Walter in [11, Section 2]. In [14, Section 1] a BHS for the isotropy group bundle $G' = \{x \in G : r(x) = d(x)\}$ is constructed. One way to do this is to choose a continuous function F_0 with conditionally support, which is nonnegative and equal to 1 at each $u \in G^{(0)}$. For each $u \in G^{(0)}$, choose a left Haar measure β_u^u on G_u^u so that the integral of F_0 with respect to β_u^u is 1, then define $\beta_v^u = x\beta_v^v$ if $x \in G_v^u$, where $x\beta_v^v(f) = \int f(xy)d\beta_v^v(y)$ as usual. If z is another element in G_v^u , then $x^{-1}z \in G_v^v$, and since β_v^v is a left Haar measure on G_v^v , it follows that β_v^u is independent of the choice of x . A 1-cocycle δ on G such that, for every $u \in G^{(0)}$, $\delta|_{G_u^u}$ is the modular function for β_u^u , is also defined in [14]. δ and $\delta^{-1} = 1/\delta$ are bounded on compact sets in G . The system of measures $\beta = \{\beta_v^u : (u, v) \in R\}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\text{supp}(\beta_v^u) = G_v^u$ for all $(u, v) \in R$.
- (2) $(u, v) \mapsto \int f d\beta_v^u$ is Borel for every nonnegative Borel function f on G .
- (3) $\int f(y) d\beta_v^{r(x)}(y) = \int f(xy) d\beta_v^{d(x)}(y)$ for all $x \in G$ and v with $(v, r(x)) \in R$.
- (4) $\sup_{u,v} \beta_v^u(K) < \infty$ for all compact $K \subset G$.
- (5) $\int f(y) d\beta_v^u(y) = \int f(y^{-1})\delta(y^{-1}) d\beta_u^v(y)$.

Conditions (1 – 4) above imply that β is an invariant θ -system of measures.

With this apparatus in place, Renault describes a decomposition of the BHS $\{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ for G over R , proving that there is a unique BHS α for R with the property that

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t) \quad \text{for all } u \in G^{(0)}.$$

In [11, Section 2] Ramsay and Walter prove that

$$\sup_u \alpha^u(\theta(K)t) < \infty \quad \text{for all compact } K \subset G.$$

We shall call the pair of system of measures

$$(\{\beta_v^u\}_{(u,v) \in R}, \{\alpha^u\}_{u \in G^{(0)}})$$

described above a *decomposition of the Haar system* $\{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ over the principal groupoid associated to G . Also we shall call δ the 1-cocycle associated to the decomposition.

For each $u \in G^{(0)}$ the measure α^u is concentrated on $\{u\} \times [u]$. Therefore there is a measure μ^u concentrated on $[u]$ such that $\alpha^u = \varepsilon_u \times \mu^u$. Since $\{\alpha^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ is a Haar system, we have $\mu^u = \mu^v$ for all $(u, v) \in R$, and the function

$$u \mapsto \int f(s) d\mu^u(s)$$

is Borel for all $f \geq 0$ Borel on $G^{(0)}$. For each u the measure μ^u is quasi-invariant, cf. [11, Section 2]. Therefore μ^u is equivalent to $d_*(v^u)$ ([9, Lemma 4.5]).

Let us summarize: $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ is a BHS on G , $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ is a G -equivariant map, $\beta = \{\beta_v^u : (u, v) \in R\}$ is an invariant θ -system of measures, and $\alpha = \{\alpha^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ is an invariant r -system of measures ($r : R \rightarrow G^{(0)}$, $r(u, v) = u$).

Let μ be a quasi-invariant measure (with respect to λ) on $G^{(0)}$. Let us note that $\mu \circ \alpha$ is a quasi-invariant measure on R . If $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ is a map which is amenable with respect to $(\lambda, \beta, \mu \circ \alpha)$, then there is an invariant mean $m : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(R)$. The invariance property of m can be written as

$$m(f * \varphi)(u, v) = \int f(x) m(\varphi)(d(x), v) d\lambda^u(x), \quad (u, v) \in R,$$

for all $f \in B_b(G, \lambda)$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$. The existence of the invariant mean $m : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(R)$ is equivalent to the existence of an *approximate strongly invariant mean*. This is a net $(g_i)_i$ in $L^\infty(R, L^1(G, \beta))_1^+$ such that

- (1) $\beta(g_i) = 1$.
- (2) For all $f \in L^1(R * G)$,

$$\lim_i \int f((r(y), d(y)), x) |g_i(x^{-1}y) - g_i(y)| d\lambda^u(x) d\lambda^u(y) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

We may equivalently work with a sequence $(g_n)_n$ instead of a net $(g_i)_i$.

4. TOPOLOGICALLY NULL FUNCTIONS ON GROUPOIDS

In the following considerations we use the notation from the preceding section. In [3], we considered a locally compact second countable groupoid G having non-compact isotropy groups, endowed with a Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ and a quasi-invariant measure μ . We assumed that there is an approximate strongly invariant mean

$(g_n)_n$ (in the sense of [1, Definition 3.1.24]) for the range map $r : G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ (or equivalently that the range map is amenable). We proved in [3, Theorem 13] that if the modular function Δ of μ is bounded on a neighborhood of the unit space $G^{(0)}$, then there is a subsequence of $(g_n)_n$, also denoted $(g_n)_n$, such that, for every compact set $K \subset G$ and every element $\varphi \in L^1(G^{(0)}, \mu)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x)) g_n(x) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

In this section we shall prove a similar property for an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ associated with the map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$, $\theta(x) = (r(x), d(x))$. The existence of an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ is equivalent to the amenability of θ with respect to $(\lambda, \beta, \mu \circ \alpha)$, and is a weaker condition than the amenability of $r : G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$. We shall not need the boundedness of Δ . In the case of a locally compact non-compact group this result, as well as the result in [3], coincide with the result in 21.2 of [12].

Lemma 5. *Let G be a locally compact second countable groupoid endowed with a Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ and a quasi-invariant measure μ . Let*

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t)$$

be a decomposition of the Haar system over the principal groupoid R . If $(g_n)_n$ is an approximate strongly invariant mean for the G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$, then for each element $f \in B_b(G, \lambda)$ and each $\varphi \in L^1(R, \mu \circ \alpha)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f(xy^{-1}) \varphi(r(y), d(y)) |g_n(y) - g_n(x)| d\lambda_{d(x)}(y) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

Proof. First let us note that $((u, v), x) \mapsto f(x)\varphi(u, v)$ belongs to $L^1(R * G)$. Then it follows from condition (2) fulfilled by the approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_n \int f(x) \varphi(r(y), d(y)) |g_n(x^{-1}y) - g_n(y)| d\lambda^u(x) d\lambda^u(y) d\mu(u) \\ &= \lim_n \int f(x) \varphi(r(y), d(y)) |g_n((y^{-1}x)^{-1}) - g_n(y)| d\lambda^{r(y)}(x) d\lambda^u(y) d\mu(u) \\ &= \lim_n \int f(yx^{-1}) \varphi(r(y), d(y)) |g_n(x) - g_n(y)| d\lambda_{d(y)}(x) d\lambda^u(y) d\mu(u). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 6. *Let G be a locally compact second countable groupoid endowed with a Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ and a quasi-invariant measure μ . Let*

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t)$$

be a decomposition of the Haar system over the principal groupoid R . If $(g_n)_n$ is an approximate strongly invariant mean for the G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$, then there exists a sequence $(h_n)_n$ of Borel positive functions on $G^{(0)}$ with the following properties:

- (1) *For every compact subset $K \subset G$ and every element $\varphi \in L^1(R, \mu \circ \alpha)$ we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

(2) $\sup_{u \in K} h_n(u) < \infty$ for all compact subsets K of $G^{(0)}$.

Proof. Let U be a symmetric neighborhood of the unit space $G^{(0)}$, $U_1 \subset U$ a closed d -compact neighborhood of $G^{(0)}$, and $b : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ a continuous function with d -compact support contained in U such that $b|_{U_1} \equiv 1$. Then $a(x) = b(x) / \int b(y) d\lambda_{d(x)}$ defines a continuous function $a : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with d -compact support and with the property that $\int a(y) d\lambda_u(y) = 1$ for all $u \in G^{(0)}$. We define $h_n : G^{(0)} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$h_n(u) = \int a(y) g_n(y) d\lambda_u(y).$$

We denote by L the support of the function a . Since L is a d -compact set, it follows that L^{-1} is an r -compact set and therefore, for any compact set K , the set KL^{-1} is compact. We fix a compact set $K \subset G$. If $f(x) = 1_{KL^{-1}}(x)$, then $f \in B_b(G, \lambda)$ because

$$\int |f(x)| d\lambda^u(x) \leq \sup_u \lambda^u(KL^{-1}) < \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 5 to the functions f and φ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi(r(x), d(x)) 1_{KL^{-1}}(xy^{-1}) |g_n(y) - g_n(x)| d\lambda_{d(x)}(y) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

If $M_0 = \sup_{x \in L \cap d^{-1}(d(K))} a(x)$, then for all $(x, y) \in \bigcup_{u \in G^{(0)}} (G_u \times G_u)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & M_0 1_{KL^{-1}}(xy^{-1}) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| |g_n(y) - g_n(x)| \\ & \geq M_0 1_K(x) 1_{L^{-1}}(y^{-1}) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| |g_n(y) - g_n(x)| \\ & \geq M_0 1_K(x) 1_L(y) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| |g_n(y) - g_n(x)| \\ & \geq a(y) 1_K(x) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| |g_n(y) - g_n(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) a(y) |g_n(y) - g_n(x)| d\lambda_{d(x)}(y) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) \right| \\ & \leq \int 1_K(x) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| \\ & \quad \cdot \left| \int a(y) g_n(x) d\lambda_{d(x)}(y) - \int a(y) g_n(y) d\lambda_{d(x)}(y) \right| d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) \\ & \leq \int 1_K(x) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| \int a(y) |g_n(x) - g_n(y)| d\lambda_{d(x)}(y) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that $(h_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded on the compact subsets of $G^{(0)}$. Let δ be the 1-cocycle associated to the decomposition over the principal groupoid associated with G . First let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(u) &= \int a(y^{-1})g_n(y^{-1}) d\lambda^u(y) = \int a(y^{-1})g_n(y^{-1}) d\beta_v^w(y) d\alpha^u(w, v) \\ &= \int a(y)g_n(y)\delta(y^{-1}) d\beta_w^v(y) d\alpha^u(w, v) \\ &= \int \frac{b(y)}{\int b(x) d\lambda_{d(y)}(x)} g_n(y)\delta(y^{-1}) d\beta_w^v(y) d\alpha^u(w, v) \\ &= \frac{1}{\int b(x) d\lambda_u(x)} \int b(y)g_n(y)\delta(y^{-1}) d\beta_w^v(y) d\alpha^u(w, v). \end{aligned}$$

Let K be a compact subset of $G^{(0)}$. If we set

$$M = \sup_{y \in L \cap d^{-1}(K)} \delta(y^{-1}) \sup_{u \in K} \frac{1}{\int b(x) d\lambda_u(x)} \sup_u \alpha^u(\theta(L \cap d^{-1}(K))),$$

then we have $\sup_{u \in K} h_n(u) \leq M$. \square

Theorem 7. *Let G be a locally compact second countable groupoid with non-compact isotropy groups, endowed with a Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ and a quasi-invariant measure μ . Let*

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t)$$

be the decomposition of the Haar system over the principal groupoid R . If $(g_n)_n$ is an approximate strongly invariant mean for the G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$, then there is a subsequence of $(g_n)_n$, also denoted $(g_n)_n$, such that for every compact set $K \subset G$ and every element $\varphi \in L^1(R, \mu \circ \alpha)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) = 0$$

for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$.

Proof. From the preceding proposition there exists a sequence $(h_n)_n$ of Borel positive functions on $G^{(0)}$ such that, for every compact subset $K \subset G$ and every $\varphi \in L^1(R, \mu \circ \alpha)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi(w, v) 1_K(x) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| \beta_v^w(x) d\alpha^u(w, v) d\mu(u) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each compact set K take $\varphi = 1_{\theta(K)}$. Then there exists a subsequence such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) |g_{n_i}(x) - h_{n_i}(d(x))| d\beta_v^u(x) = 0, \quad \mu \circ \alpha\text{-a.e.}$$

Let $(K_n)_n$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets with $\bigcup_n K_n = G$. For every natural number $m > 0$ there is n_m such that

$$\int 1_{K_m}(x) |g_{n_m}(x) - h_{n_m}(d(x))| d\beta_v^u(x) < \frac{1}{m}, \quad \mu \circ \alpha\text{-a.e.}$$

Since for every compact set K there exists K_m with $K \subset K_m$, this gives

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) |g_{n_m}(x) - h_{n_m}(d(x))| d\beta_v^u(x) = 0, \quad \mu \circ \alpha\text{-a.e.}$$

We shall denote the preceding subsequences also by $(g_n)_n$ and $(h_n)_n$. For μ -a.e. $u \in G^{(0)}$, there is $v \in G^{(0)}$ such that $(u, v) \in R$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) |g(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\beta_v^u(x) = 0$$

for all compact subsets K of $G^{(0)}$. The sequence $(h_n(u))_n$ is bounded, therefore it has a convergent subsequence, also denoted by $(h_n(u))_n$. Let us set $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(u)$. Using the decomposition $\lambda^u = \int \beta_v^u d\mu^u(v)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(u) \int 1_K(x) d\beta_u^v(x) &= \int 1_K(x) h_n(d(x)) d\beta_u^v(x) \\ &\leq \int 1_K(x) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\beta_u^v(x) + \int 1_K(x) g_n(x) d\beta_u^v(x) \\ &\leq \int 1_K(x) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\beta_u^v(x) + \int g_n(x) d\beta_u^v(x) \\ &\leq \int 1_K(x) |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\beta_u^v(x) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Passing to limit we obtain

$$L \int 1_K(x) d\beta_u^v(x) \leq 1.$$

If $L \neq 0$, then for all compact K , $\int 1_K(x) d\beta_u^v(x) \leq 1/L$, and hence $\int 1 d\beta_u^v(x) \leq 1/L$. If we take $z \in G$ such that $r(z) = u$ and $d(z) = v$, then

$$\int 1 d\beta_u^v(x) = \int 1(zx) d\beta_u^v(x) = \int 1 d\beta_u^v(x) < \infty.$$

It follows that the isotropy group G_u^u is compact, which contradicts the hypotheses. Thus $L = 0$. We have proved that every limit point for $(h_n(u))_n$ is equal to 0. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(u) = 0$. By the Dominated Convergence Theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) h_n(d(x)) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

Passing to limit in the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int 1_K(x) \varphi(r(x), d(x)) g_n(x) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) \right| \\ &\leq \int 1_K(x) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| |g_n(x) - h_n(d(x))| d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u) \\ &\quad + \int 1_K(x) |\varphi(r(x), d(x))| h_n(d(x)) d\lambda^u(x) d\mu(u), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the desired result. \square

Remark 8. An invariant measurable θ -system of means with respect to (β, λ, μ) [1, Definition 3.1.26] is a family $\{m_v^u : (u, v) \in R\}$ of states m_v^u on $L^\infty(G, \beta_v^u)$ such that, for every $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$,

- (1) $(u, v) \mapsto m_v^u(\varphi)$ is $\mu \circ \alpha$ -measurable;

$$(2) \quad m_v^{d(x)}(\varphi(x \cdot)) = m_v^{r(x)}(\varphi) \text{ for } \mu \circ \alpha \circ \lambda\text{-a.e. } ((r(x), v), x) \in R * G.$$

The existence of an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ is equivalent to the existence of an invariant measurable θ -system of means (see 3.2 of [1]). In the proof of [1, Proposition 3.2.5], the following construction of an invariant measurable θ -system of means using an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ is being employed. Let LIM be a ‘‘medial limit’’ as defined in [7], and set

$$m_v^u(\varphi) = \text{LIM} \left(\int \varphi(x) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) \right)$$

for all Borel bounded functions φ on G and all $(u, v) \in R$. Then $\{m_v^u : (u, v) \in R\}$ is an invariant measurable θ -system of means.

Theorem 9. *Let G be a locally compact second countable groupoid with non-compact isotropy groups, endowed with a Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ and with a quasi-invariant measure μ . Let*

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t)$$

be a decomposition of the Haar system over the principal groupoid R . Assume that the G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ is amenable, and that $(g_n)_n$ is an approximate strongly invariant mean for θ . If $\{m_v^u : (u, v) \in R\}$ is the invariant measurable θ -system of means induced by $(g_n)_n$, then

$$m_v^u(\varphi) = 0$$

for any Borel bounded function φ on G with compact support and for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$.

Proof. Theorem 7 implies that there is a subsequence of $(g_n)_n$, also denoted $(g_n)_n$, such that for every compact set $K \subset G$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int 1_K(x) 1_{\theta(K)}(r(x), d(x)) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) = 0$$

for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi(x) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) = 0$$

for any Borel bounded function φ on G with compact support and $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$. Therefore

$$m_v^u(\varphi) = \text{LIM} \left(\int \varphi(x) g_n(x) d\beta_v^u(x) \right) = 0$$

for any φ as above and $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$. □

Remark 10. An invariant mean for θ is a mean $m : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(R)$ (that is a bounded $L^\infty(R)$ -linear map from $L^\infty(G)$ into $L^\infty(R)$) which satisfies the invariance property

$$m(f * \varphi) = f * m(\varphi)$$

for all $f \in B_b(G, \lambda)$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$. The invariance property of m can be written as

$$m(f * \varphi)(u, v) = \int f(x) m(\varphi)(d(x), v) d\lambda^u(x), \quad (u, v) \in R,$$

for all $f \in B_b(G, \lambda)$ and $\varphi \in L^\infty(G)$.

The G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ is called *amenable with respect to* $(\lambda, \beta, \mu \circ \alpha)$ if there is an invariant mean $m : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(R)$. An *approximate weakly invariant mean* is a net $(g_n)_n$ in $L^\infty(R, L^1(G, \beta))_1^+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\beta(g_n) = 1$.
- (2) For all $f \in L^1(R * G)$,

$$\lim_n \int f((r(y), d(y)), x)(g_n(x^{-1}y) - g_n(y)) d\lambda^u(x) d\lambda^u(y) d\mu(u) = 0.$$

Let $B_{L^\infty(R)}(L^\infty(G), L^\infty(R))$ be the Banach space of all bounded $L^\infty(R)$ -linear maps from $L^\infty(G)$ into $L^\infty(R)$. According to [1, Proposition 3.1.7], $m : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(R)$ is an invariant mean if and only if there is an approximate weakly invariant mean $(g_i)_i$ in $L^\infty(R, L^1(G, \beta))_1^+$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{g_n} = m$ in $B_{L^\infty(R)}(L^\infty(G), L^\infty(R))$ endowed with the weak* topology, where m_{g_n} is defined by

$$m_{g_n}(\varphi)(u, v) = \int g_n(x)\varphi(x) d\beta_v^u(x), \quad (u, v) \in R, \varphi \in L^\infty(G).$$

Obviously, any approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_i)_i$ is an approximate weakly invariant mean. Let $m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{g_n}$ be the invariant mean induced by an approximate strongly invariant mean $(g_n)_n$ for the G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$. Applying Theorem 7, it follows that

$$m(\varphi) = 0$$

for any compactly supported bounded Borel function φ on G .

Theorem 11. *Let G be a locally compact second countable groupoid with non-compact isotropy groups, endowed with a Haar system $\lambda = \{\lambda^u : u \in G^{(0)}\}$ and a quasi-invariant measure μ . Let*

$$\lambda^u = \int \beta_t^s d\alpha^u(s, t)$$

*be a decomposition of the Haar system over the principal groupoid R . Assume that the G -equivariant map $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ is amenable with respect to $(\lambda, \mu \circ \alpha, \beta)$. Let $(R * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu \circ \alpha)$ be a unitary representation of the groupoid $R * G$ such that for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$ there is no finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{K}(u, v)$ of $\mathcal{H}(u, v)$ with the property*

$$L((u, v), x)\mathcal{K}(u, v) \subset \mathcal{K}(u, v) \quad \text{for } \lambda^u \text{-a.e. } x \in G_u^u.$$

Let $\{M_v^u : (u, v) \in R\}$ be an invariant family of states on $L^\infty(S, \mathcal{H}, \mu \circ \alpha)$. Then for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$ we have

$$M_v^u(A) = 0$$

for every compact operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(u, v))$.

Proof. By Theorem 3, if $\theta : G \rightarrow R$ is amenable with respect to $(\lambda, \mu \circ \alpha, \beta)$, then any representation $(R * \mathcal{H}, L, \mu \circ \alpha)$ is amenable. Since for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$ there is no finite-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{K}(u, v)$ of $\mathcal{H}(u, v)$ with the property that

$$L((u, v), x)\mathcal{K}(u, v) \subset \mathcal{K}(u, v) \quad \text{for } \lambda^u \text{-a.e. } x \in G_u^u,$$

it follows from [4, Theorem 4] that for $\mu \circ \alpha$ -a.e. $(u, v) \in R$, $M_v^u(A) = 0$ for every compact operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(u, v))$. \square

Remark 12. The above theorem may be viewed as a non-commutative analog of Theorem 9.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. ANANTHARAMAN-DELAROCHE, J. RENAULT, *Amenable Groupoids*, Monographie de L'Enseignement Mathématique, vol. 36, Genève, 2000.
- [2] M.E.B. BEKKA, Amenable unitary representations of locally compact groups, *Invent. Math.* **100** (1990), 383–401.
- [3] M. BUNECI, Invariant means on groupoids, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **48** (2003), 13–29.
- [4] M. BUNECI, Amenable unitary representations of measured groupoids, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **48** (2003), 129–133.
- [5] A. CONNES, Sur la théorie non commutative de l'intégration, in *Algèbres d'Opérateurs (Sém., Les Plans-sur-Bex, 1978)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 725, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. 19–143.
- [6] P. HAHN, Haar measure for measure groupoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **242** (1978), 1–33.
- [7] P.A. MEYER, Limites médiales, d'après Mokobodzki, in *Séminaire de Probabilités, VII (Univ. Strasbourg, année universitaire 1971–1972)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 321, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 198–204.
- [8] P. MUHLY, *Coordinates in Operator Algebras*, book in preparation.
- [9] A. RAMSAY, Virtual groups and groups actions, *Adv. Math.* **6** (1971), 253–322.
- [10] A. RAMSAY, Topologies on measured groupoids, *J. Funct. Anal.* **47** (1982), 314–343.
- [11] A. RAMSAY, M.E. WALTER, Fourier-Stieltjes Algebras of locally compact groupoids, *J. Funct. Anal.* **148** (1997), 314–367.
- [12] J.P. PIER, *Amenable Locally Compact Groups*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984.
- [13] J. RENAULT, *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 793, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [14] J. RENAULT, The ideal structure of groupoid crossed product algebras, *J. Operator Theory* **25** (1991), 3–36.

UNIVERSITY CONSTANTIN BRÂNCUȘI OF TÂRGU-JIU, BULEVARDUL REPUBLICII, NR.1, 210152 TÂRGU-JIU, ROMÂNIA

E-mail address: ada@utgjiu.ro