

## FIXED POINTS IN BICOMPLEX VALUED S-METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS

G. Siva

**Abstract.** This article introduces the idea of bicomplex valued S-metric space and deduces some of its features. Additionally, for bicomplex valued S-metric spaces, some fixed point results of contraction maps are shown to meet various categories of rational inequalities. Moreover, these results generalize certain significant, well-known results. An example is provided to highlight our major result. Furthermore, a theorem guaranteeing the existence of the one and only solution to the linear system of equations was developed using our main result.

### 1 Introduction

Assuming that  $\mathbb{C}_1$  is the set of all complex numbers. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_1$  and define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $\mathbb{C}_1$  as follows.  $z_1 \preceq z_2$  if and only if (or, iff)  $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2), Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$ . It follows that  $z_1 \preceq z_2$  if one of the following axioms is fulfilled:

- (I)  $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2), Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ ,
- (II)  $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2), Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ ,
- (III)  $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2), Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$ ,
- (IV)  $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2), Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$ .

In particular we will write  $z_1 \succ z_2$  if  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and one of (I),(II) and (III) is fulfilled, and we will write  $z_1 \prec z_2$  if only (III) is fulfilled. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2 &\Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2| \\ z_1 \preceq z_2, z_2 \prec z_3 &\Rightarrow z_1 \prec z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $\mathbb{C}_0$  and  $\mathbb{C}_2$  are the set of all real and bicomplex numbers respectively. Bicomplex numbers are defined by C. Segre [13] as:  $\tau = a_1 + a_2i_1 + a_3i_2 + a_4i_1i_2$ , where

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54E40; Secondary 54H25  
Keywords: Complex number; Partial order; Linear equation; Nonsingular.

\*\*\*\*\*

<https://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{C}_0$ , and the independent units  $i_1, i_2$  are such that  $i_i^2 = i_2^2 = -1$ , and  $i_1 i_2 = i_2 i_1$ . We denote the set of bicomplex numbers  $\mathbb{C}_2$  is defined as:

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{\tau : \tau = a_1 + a_2 i_1 + a_3 i_2 + a_4 i_1 i_2, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{C}_0\},$$

i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}_2 = \{\tau : \tau = z_1 + i_2 z_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_1\}$ , where  $z_1 = a_1 + a_2 i_1 \in \mathbb{C}_1$  and  $z_2 = a_3 + a_4 i_1 \in \mathbb{C}_1$ .

If  $\tau = z_1 + i_2 z_2, \nu = w_1 + i_2 w_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$ , then the sum is  $\tau \pm \nu = (z_1 + i_2 z_2) \pm (w_1 + i_2 w_2) = (z_1 \pm w_1) + i_2(z_2 \pm w_2)$  and the product is  $\tau \cdot \nu = (z_1 + i_2 z_2) \cdot (w_1 + i_2 w_2) = (z_1 w_1 - z_2 w_2) + i_2(z_1 w_2 + z_2 w_1)$ .

An element  $\nu = w_1 + i_2 w_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$  is nonsingular iff  $|w_1^2 + w_2^2| \neq 0$  and singular iff  $|w_1^2 + w_2^2| = 0$ . The inverse of  $\nu$  is defined as  $\nu^{-1} = \frac{w_1 - i_2 w_2}{w_1^2 + w_2^2}$ .

A bicomplex number  $\tau = a_1 + a_2 i_1 + a_3 i_2 + a_4 i_1 i_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$  is said to be nonsingular if the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonsingular. In that case  $\tau^{-1}$  exists and it is also nonsingular.

The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of  $\mathbb{C}_2$  is a positive real valued function and  $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_0^+$  by  $\|\tau\| = \|z_1 + i_2 z_2\| = \{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + a_4^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $\tau = a_1 + a_2 i_1 + a_3 i_2 + a_4 i_1 i_2 = z_1 + i_2 z_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$ .

Define a partial order  $\succsim_{i_2}$  on  $\mathbb{C}_2$  as follows. For  $\tau = z_1 + i_2 z_2$  and  $\nu = w_1 + i_2 w_2$  be any two bicomplex numbers.  $\tau \succsim_{i_2} \nu$  iff  $z_1 \succsim w_1$ , and  $z_2 \succsim w_2$ . It follows that  $\tau \succsim_{i_2} \nu$  if one of the following axioms is fulfilled:

- (i)  $z_1 = w_1, z_2 = w_2$ ,
- (ii)  $z_1 \prec w_1, z_2 = w_2$ ,
- (iii)  $z_1 = w_1, z_2 \prec w_2$ ,
- (iv)  $z_1 \prec w_1, z_2 \prec w_2$ .

In particular we will write  $\tau \not\succsim_{i_2} \nu$  if  $\tau \succsim_{i_2} \nu$  and  $\tau \neq \nu$  and one of (ii), (iii), and (iv) is fulfilled, and we will write  $\tau \prec \nu$  if only (iv) is fulfilled. Remember that

- (I)  $\tau \succsim_{i_2} \nu \Rightarrow \|\tau\| \leq \|\nu\|$ ,
- (II)  $\|\tau + \nu\| \leq \|\tau\| + \|\nu\|$ ,
- (III)  $\|a\tau\| = a\|\tau\|$ , where  $a$  is a non negative real number,
- (IV)  $\|\tau\nu\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|\tau\|\|\nu\|$ , and the equality holds only when atleast one of  $\tau$  and  $\nu$  is nonsingular,
- (V)  $\|\tau^{-1}\| = \|\tau\|^{-1}$  if  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}_2$  is a nonsingular with  $0 \prec \tau$ ,
- (VI)  $\|\frac{\tau}{\nu}\| = \frac{\|\tau\|}{\|\nu\|}$ , if  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}_2$  is a nonsingular.

\*\*\*\*\*

Complex valued metric spaces were first discussed by A. Azam et al. in [1]. J. Choi et al. proposed the idea of bicomplex valued metric spaces in their paper [3]; various properties were derived, and common fixed point results for mappings meeting a rational inequality were demonstrated. See [2, 4, 5, 7, 14] for a list of recent publications on fixed point theory in bicomplex valued metric spaces.

**Definition 1.** [1] *Assuming that  $G \neq \emptyset$  is a set. The mapping  $d : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$  is said to be a bicomplex valued metric if*

- (i)  $0 \prec_{i_2} d(\beth, \aleph), \forall \beth, \aleph \in G,$
- (ii)  $d(\beth, \aleph) = 0$  iff  $\beth = \aleph$  in  $G,$
- (iii)  $d(\beth, \aleph) = d(\aleph, \beth), \forall \beth, \aleph \in G,$
- (iv)  $d(\beth, \aleph) \prec_{i_2} d(\beth, \wp) + d(\wp, \aleph), \forall \beth, \wp, \aleph \in G.$

*The pair  $(G, d)$  is then referred to as a bicomplex valued metric space.*

S. Sedghi et al. first established the idea of S-metric space in [12]. A S-metric is a real valued mapping on  $G^3$ , for some set  $G \neq \emptyset$ , where the map represents the perimeter of the triangle. See [6, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16] for a list of articles on fixed point theory in S-metric spaces.

**Definition 2.** [12] *Assuming that  $G \neq \emptyset$  is a set. The mapping  $S : G^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a S-metric if*

- (1)  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) \geq 0,$  for all  $\beth, \aleph, \rho \in G$  and
- (2)  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) = 0$  iff  $\beth = \aleph = \rho,$  for all  $\beth, \aleph, \rho \in G;$  and
- (3)  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) \leq S(\beth, \beth, \sigma) + S(\aleph, \aleph, \sigma) + S(\rho, \rho, \sigma),$  for all  $\beth, \aleph, \rho, \sigma \in G.$

*The pair  $(G, S)$  is then referred to as a S-metric space.*

In order to get at common fixed point results, N. M. Mlaika [8] recently established the concept of complex valued S-metric space. In this research, we generalise the concept of complex valued metric space and S-metric space by extending the codomain of complex valued S-metric to bicomplex numbers. We also propose a new definition of bicomplex valued S-metric space. We also obtain certain S-metric space features with bicomplex values. Additionally, in bicomplex valued S-metric space, we demonstrate certain fixed point results for contraction maps meeting various kinds of rational inequalities. Additionally, by applying our primary conclusion, we show an existence theorem for the unique solution to the linear system of equations, generalise some significant and well-known results, and give an example to explain our major result.

\*\*\*\*\*

## 2 Bicomplex Valued S-Metric Spaces

In order to get to conclusions about fixed point theory, this section introduces bicomplex valued S-metric space and some of its characteristics.

**Definition 3.** Assuming that  $G \neq \emptyset$  is a set. The mapping  $S : G^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_2$  is called a bicomplex valued S-metric if

- (1)  $0 \prec_{i_2} S(\beth, \aleph, \rho)$ , for all  $\beth, \aleph, \rho \in G$  and
- (2)  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) = 0$  iff  $\beth = \aleph = \rho$ , for all  $\beth, \aleph, \rho \in G$ ; and
- (3)  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) \prec_{i_2} S(\beth, \beth, \sigma) + S(\aleph, \aleph, \sigma) + S(\rho, \rho, \sigma)$ , for all  $\beth, \aleph, \rho, \sigma \in G$ .

The pair  $(G, S)$  is then referred to as a bicomplex valued S-metric space (or, BVSMS).

**Example 4.** Assuming that  $G = (0, \infty)$  and  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) = (1 + i_1 + i_2 + i_1 i_2)(|\beth - \rho| + |\aleph - \rho|)$ . Then  $(G, S)$  is a BVSMS.

**Definition 5.** Suppose  $(G, S)$  is a BVSMS. Assuming that  $\{\beth_n\}$  is a sequence in  $G$ .

- (i)  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to a point  $\beth$  (or  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \beth$ ) iff for every  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  (Natural numbers) such that  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth) \prec_{i_2} c$ ,  $\forall n \geq n_0$ .
- (ii)  $\{\beth_n\}$  is called a Cauchy sequence, if for each  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_m) \prec_{i_2} c$ ,  $\forall n, m \geq n_0$ .

**Definition 6.** Assuming that  $(G, S)$  is a BVSMS. Then  $G$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in  $G$ .

**Lemma 7.** Assuming that  $(G, S)$  is a BVSMS. Then a sequence  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to a point  $\beth$  iff  $\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Assuming that  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence, and  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \beth \in G$ . For  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}_0$  with  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $c = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_1 \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_1 i_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For every  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth) \prec_{i_2} c$ .

$$\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth)\| < \|c\| = \epsilon, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

It follows that  $\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Conversely, assuming that  $\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then given  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there exists  $\delta \in \mathbb{C}_0$ , with  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_2$

$$\|z\| < \delta \Rightarrow z \prec_{i_2} c$$

For this  $\delta$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth)\| < \delta, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

This means that  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth) \prec_{i_2} c, \forall n \geq n_0$ . Hence  $\beth_n \rightarrow \beth \in G$ .  $\square$

\*\*\*\*\*

**Lemma 8.** *Assuming that  $(G, S)$  is a BVSM. If a sequence  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\beth$  and a sequence  $(\aleph_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $\aleph$ , then  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \aleph_n) \rightarrow S(\beth, \beth, \aleph)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming that  $(\beth_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \beth \in H$ , and  $(\aleph_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \rightarrow \aleph \in G$ . For  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}_0$  with  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $c = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_1 \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_1 i_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For each  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there exist  $n_0, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that,  $\forall n \geq n_0$ , we have  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth) \prec_{i_2} \frac{c}{4}$ , and for all  $n \geq n_1$ , we have  $S(\aleph_n, \aleph_n, \aleph) \prec_{i_2} \frac{c}{4}$ , then for all  $n \geq n_2 = \max\{n_0, n_1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) &\prec_{i_2} 2S(\beth, \beth, \beth_n) + 2S(\aleph, \aleph, \aleph_n) + S(\aleph_n, \aleph_n, \beth_n) \\ &< c + S(\aleph_n, \aleph_n, \beth_n) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) - S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \aleph_n) \prec_{i_2} c,$$

$$\|S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) - S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \aleph_n)\| \leq \|c\| = \epsilon,$$

and hence  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \aleph_n) \rightarrow S(\beth, \beth, \aleph)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Assuming that  $(G, S)$  is a BVSM. Then  $\{\beth_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence iff  $\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m})\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming that  $\{\beth_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. For  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}_0$  with  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $c = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_1 \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2} + i_1 i_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For every  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m}) \prec_{i_2} c$ .

$$\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m})\| < \|c\| = \epsilon, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

It follows that  $\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m})\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Conversely, assuming that  $\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m})\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then given  $c \in \mathbb{C}_2$  with  $0 \prec_{i_2} c$ , there exists  $\delta \in \mathbb{C}_0$  with  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $z \in \mathbb{C}_2$

$$\|z\| < \delta \Rightarrow z \prec_{i_2} c$$

For this  $\delta$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m})\| < \delta, \forall n \geq n_0.$$

This means that  $S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n+m}) \prec_{i_2} c, \forall n \geq n_0$ . Therefore  $\{\beth_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Assuming that  $S$  is a bicomplex valued S-metric on  $G$ , then  $S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) = S(\aleph, \aleph, \beth), \forall \beth, \aleph \in G$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of bicomplex valued S-metric, we have  $S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) \prec_{i_2} 2S(\beth, \beth, \beth) + S(\aleph, \aleph, \beth)$ . In view of  $S(\beth, \beth, \beth) = 0$ , we find that  $S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) \prec_{i_2} S(\aleph, \aleph, \beth)$ . Similarly, we find that  $S(\aleph, \aleph, \beth) \prec_{i_2} S(\beth, \beth, \aleph)$ . It follows that  $S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) = S(\aleph, \aleph, \beth)$ .  $\square$

\*\*\*\*\*

### 3 Main Results

We will demonstrate a few fixed point theorems in this section for various contraction mappings that satisfy rational inequalities on the BVSMS.

**Theorem 11.** *Assuming that  $(G, S)$  is a complete BVSMS with nonsingular  $1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)$  and  $\|1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)\| \neq 0$ , whenever  $\varrho, \aleph \in G$ . If a map  $f : G \rightarrow G$  satisfies  $S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), f(\varrho)) \lesssim_{i_2} \lambda S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph) + \frac{\mu S(\varrho, \varrho, f(\varrho)) S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)}{1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)}$ , for all  $\varrho, \aleph \in G$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1)$  with  $\lambda + \sqrt{2}\mu < 1$ , then the function  $f$  has a unique fixed point (or, UFP).*

*Proof.* Let  $\varrho_0 \in G$ , and  $\varrho_1 = f(\varrho_0)$ . Suppose  $\varrho_{n+1} = f(\varrho_n)$ , whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1}) &= S(f(\varrho_{n-1}), f(\varrho_{n-1}), f(\varrho_{n-2})) \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} \lambda S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, f(\varrho_{n-2})) S(f(\varrho_{n-1}), f(\varrho_{n-1}), \varrho_{n-1})}{1 + S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})} \\ &= \lambda S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1}) S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})}{1 + S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})} \\ \|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\| &\leq \|\lambda S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1}) S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})}{1 + S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})}\| \\ &\leq \lambda \|S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})\| + \sqrt{2}\mu \|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \sqrt{2}\mu} \|S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})\|,$$

Hence, by applying  $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \sqrt{2}\mu}$ , we get

$$\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\| \leq \alpha^n \|S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0)\|.$$

For every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_m) &\lesssim_{i_2} S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n+1}) + S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n+1}) + S(\varrho_m, \varrho_m, \varrho_{n+1}) \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} 2S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n+1}) + S(\varrho_{n+1}, \varrho_{n+1}, \varrho_m) \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} 2(\alpha^n + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0), \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} 2\left(\frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}\right) S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0), \text{ if } m > n, \end{aligned}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

$$\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_m)\| \leq 2\left(\frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}\right)\|S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0)\|, \text{ if } m > n,$$

By  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_m)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we determine that  $\{\varrho_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(G, S)$  is complete,  $\{\varrho_n\}$  converges to a point  $\varphi \in G$ . Hence,  $f(\varrho_n) = \varrho_{n+1} \rightarrow \varphi \in G$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), f(\varrho_n)) \rightarrow S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), \varphi)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , because of Lemma 8. Moreover by taking the limit from

$$S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), f(\varrho_n)) \lesssim_{i_2} \lambda S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varphi) + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, f(\varrho_n)) S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), \varphi)}{1 + S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varphi)}$$

we obtain

$$\|S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), f(\varrho_n))\| \leq \lambda \|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varphi)\| + \frac{\mu \|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, f(\varrho_n)) S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), \varphi)\|}{\|1 + S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varphi)\|},$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), \varphi) = 0$ . Therefore  $f(\varphi) = \varphi$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

If, in addition,  $f(\rho) = \rho$  for some another fixed point  $\rho$  of  $f$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varphi, \varphi, \rho) = S(f(\varphi), f(\varphi), f(\rho)) &\lesssim_{i_2} \lambda S(\varphi, \varphi, \rho) + \frac{\mu S(\varphi, \varphi, f(\varphi)) S(f(\rho), f(\rho), \rho)}{1 + S(\varphi, \varphi, \rho)} \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} \lambda S(\varphi, \varphi, \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|S(\varphi, \varphi, \rho)\| = 0$  and it implies that  $\rho = \varphi$ . Hence  $f$  has a UFP.  $\square$

**Example 12.** Assuming that  $G = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 2\}$  and  $S(\varrho, \aleph, \rho) = (1+i_2)(|\varrho-\rho|+|\aleph-\rho|)$ , where  $\varrho, \aleph, \rho \in G$ . Then  $(G, S)$  is a complete BVSM. Define a map  $f : (G, S) \rightarrow (G, S)$  by  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ , and  $f(2) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,  $f$  satisfies the inequality  $S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), f(\varrho)) \lesssim_{i_2} \lambda S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph) + \frac{\mu S(\varrho, \varrho, f(\varrho)) S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)}{1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)}$  for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{6}$ . Hence  $f$  has a UFP zero in  $G$ , because of Theorem 11.

**Theorem 13.** Assuming that  $(G, S)$  is a complete BVSM with nonsingular  $1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)$  and  $\|1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)\| \neq 0$ , whenever  $\varrho, \aleph \in G$ . If a map  $f : (G, S) \rightarrow (G, S)$  satisfies  $S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), f(\varrho)) \lesssim_{i_2} \lambda [S(\varrho, \varrho, f(\varrho)) + S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)] + \frac{\mu S(\varrho, \varrho, f(\varrho)) S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)}{1 + S(\varrho, \varrho, \aleph)}$ , for all  $(\varrho, \aleph) \in G$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1)$  with  $2\lambda + \sqrt{2}\mu < 1$ , then the function  $f : G \rightarrow G$  has a UFP.

*Proof.* Let  $\varrho_0 \in G$ , and  $\varrho_1 = f(\varrho_0)$ . Suppose  $\varrho_{n+1} = f(\varrho_n)$ , whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For

\*\*\*\*\*

every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1}) &= S(f(\varrho_{n-1}), f(\varrho_{n-1}), f(\varrho_{n-2})) \\
 &\lesssim_{i_2} \lambda[S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, f(\varrho_{n-1})) + S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, f(\varrho_{n-2}))] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, f(\varrho_{n-2}))S(f(\varrho_{n-1}), f(\varrho_{n-1}), \varrho_{n-1})}{1 + S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})} \\
 &= \lambda[S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_n) + S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1})S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})}{1 + S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})} \\
 \|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\| &\leq \| [S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_n) + S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1})S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})}{1 + S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})} \| \\
 &\leq \lambda \| [S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_n) + S(\varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-2}, \varrho_{n-1})] \| \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{2}\mu \| S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1}) \|,
 \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \sqrt{2}\mu} \|S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})\|,$$

Hence, by applying  $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \sqrt{2}\mu}$ , we get

$$\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n-1})\| \leq \alpha^n \|S(\varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-1}, \varrho_{n-2})\|$$

For every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_m) &\lesssim_{i_2} S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n+1}) + S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n+1}) + S(\varrho_m, \varrho_m, \varrho_{n+1}) \\
 &\lesssim_{i_2} 2S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_{n+1}) + S(\varrho_{n+1}, \varrho_{n+1}, \varrho_m) \\
 &\lesssim_{i_2} 2(\alpha^n + \dots + \alpha^{m-1})S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0), \\
 &\lesssim_{i_2} 2\left(\frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}\right)S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0), \text{ if } m > n,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_m)\| \leq 2\left(\frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}\right)\|S(\varrho_1, \varrho_1, \varrho_0)\|, \text{ if } m > n,$$

By  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\|S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \varrho_m)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we determine that  $\{\varrho_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(G, S)$  is complete,  $\{\varrho_n\}$  converges to a point  $\wp \in G$ . Hence,  $f(\varrho_n) = \varrho_{n+1} \rightarrow \wp \in G$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\varrho_n)) \rightarrow S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , because of Lemma 8. Moreover by taking the limit from

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\varrho_n)) &\lesssim_{i_2} \lambda[S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, f(\varrho_n)) + S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, f(\varrho_n))S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)}{1 + S(\varrho_n, \varrho_n, \wp)}
 \end{aligned}$$

\*\*\*\*\*



we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\beth_n))\| &\leq \lambda\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, f(\beth_n)) + S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp))\| \\ &+ \frac{\mu\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, f(\beth_n))S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)\|}{\|1 + S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \wp)\|}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp) = 0$ . Therefore  $f(\wp) = \wp$ . Hence  $\wp$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

If, in addition,  $f(\rho) = \rho$  for some another fixed point  $\rho$  of  $f$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\wp, \wp, \rho) = S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\rho)) &\preceq_{i_2} \lambda[S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp)) + S(f(\rho), f(\rho), \rho)] \\ &+ \frac{\mu S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp))S(f(\rho), f(\rho), \rho)}{1 + S(\wp, \wp, \rho)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|S(\wp, \wp, \rho)\| = 0$  and it implies that  $\rho = \wp$ . Hence  $f$  has a UFP.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** *Assuming that  $(G, S)$  be a complete BVSM with nonsingular  $1 + S(\beth, \beth, f(\beth)) + S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)$  and  $\|1 + S(\beth, \beth, f(\beth)) + S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)\| \neq 0$ , whenever  $\beth, \aleph \in G$ . If a map  $f : G \rightarrow G$  satisfies  $S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), f(\beth)) \preceq_{i_2} \lambda[S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) + S(\beth, \beth, f(\beth)) + S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)] + \frac{\mu S(\beth, \beth, f(\beth))S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)}{1 + S(\beth, \beth, f(\beth)) + S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)}$ , for all  $\beth, \aleph \in G$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1)$  with  $3\lambda + \sqrt{2}\mu < 1$ , then the function  $f$  has a UFP.*

*Proof.* Let  $\beth_0 \in G$ , and  $\beth_1 = f(\beth_0)$ . Suppose  $\beth_{n+1} = f(\beth_n)$ , whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from

$$\begin{aligned} S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1}) &= S(f(\beth_{n-1}), f(\beth_{n-1}), f(\beth_{n-2})) \\ &\preceq_{i_2} \lambda[S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, f(\beth_{n-1})) + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, f(\beth_{n-2}))] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, f(\beth_{n-2}))S(f(\beth_{n-1}), f(\beth_{n-1}), \beth_{n-1})}{1 + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, f(\beth_{n-2})) + S(f(\beth_{n-1}), f(\beth_{n-1}), \beth_{n-1})} \\ &= \lambda[S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_n) + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1})] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1})S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1})}{1 + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1}) + S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1})} \\ \|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1})\| &\leq \|\lambda[S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_n) + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1})] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1})S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1})}{1 + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1}) + S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1})}\| \\ &\leq \lambda\| [S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-2}) + S(\beth_{n-1}, \beth_{n-1}, \beth_n) \\ &\quad + S(\beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-2}, \beth_{n-1})] \| + \sqrt{2}\mu\|S(\beth_n, \beth_n, \beth_{n-1})\|, \end{aligned}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

we conclude that

$$\|S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_{n-1})\| \leq \frac{2\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \sqrt{2\mu}} \|S(\vartriangle_{n-1}, \vartriangle_{n-1}, \vartriangle_{n-2})\|,$$

Hence, by applying  $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda - \sqrt{2\mu}}$ , we get

$$\|S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_{n-1})\| \leq \alpha^n \|S(\vartriangle_{n-1}, \vartriangle_{n-1}, \vartriangle_{n-2})\|$$

For every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_m) &\preceq_{i_2} S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_{n+1}) + S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_{n+1}) + S(\vartriangle_m, \vartriangle_m, \vartriangle_{n+1}) \\ &\preceq_{i_2} 2S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_{n+1}) + S(\vartriangle_{n+1}, \vartriangle_{n+1}, \vartriangle_m) \\ &\preceq_{i_2} 2(\alpha^n + \dots + \alpha^{m-1})S(\vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_0), \\ &\preceq_{i_2} 2\left(\frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}\right)S(\vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_0), \text{ if } m > n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_m)\| \leq 2\left(\frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}\right)\|S(\vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_1, \vartriangle_0)\|, \text{ if } m > n,$$

By  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\|S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_m)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we determine that  $\{\vartriangle_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(G, S)$  is complete,  $\{\vartriangle_n\}$  converges to a point  $\wp \in G$ . Hence,  $f(\vartriangle_n) = \vartriangle_{n+1} \rightarrow \wp \in G$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\vartriangle_n)) \rightarrow S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , because of Lemma 8. Moreover by taking the limit from

$$\begin{aligned} S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\vartriangle_n)) &\preceq_{i_2} \lambda[S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \wp) + S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, f(\vartriangle_n)) + S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, f(\vartriangle_n))S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)}{1 + S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, f(\vartriangle_n)) + S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\vartriangle_n))\| &\leq \lambda[\|S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, \wp) + S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, f(\vartriangle_n)) + S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp))\|] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu\|S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, f(\vartriangle_n))S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)\|}{\|1 + S(\vartriangle_n, \vartriangle_n, f(\vartriangle_n)) + S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp)\|}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $S(f(\wp), f(\wp), \wp) = 0$ . Therefore  $f(\wp) = \wp$ . Hence  $\wp$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

If, in addition,  $f(\rho) = \rho$  for some another fixed point  $\rho$  of  $f$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\wp, \wp, \rho) = S(f(\wp), f(\wp), f(\rho)) &\preceq_{i_2} \lambda[S(\wp, \wp, \rho) + S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp)) + S(f(\rho), f(\rho), \rho)] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp))S(f(\rho), f(\rho), \rho)}{1 + S(\wp, \wp, f(\wp)) + S(f(\rho), f(\rho), \rho)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|S(\wp, \wp, \rho)\| = 0$  and it implies that  $\rho = \wp$ . Hence  $f$  has a UFP.  $\square$

\*\*\*\*\*

## 4 Applications

Using main theorem 11, we prove an existence theorem for the unique solution of the linear system of equations.

**Theorem 15.** *Assuming that  $G = \mathbb{C}^n$  is BVSMS with the metric  $S(\beth, \aleph, \rho) = \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + i_2)(|\beth - \rho| + |\aleph - \rho|)$ , whenever  $\beth, \aleph, \rho \in G$ . If  $\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_{ij}| \lesssim_{i_2} \lambda < 1$ , whenever  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then the linear system*

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = a_{11}\beth_1 + a_{12}\beth_2 + \dots + a_{1n}\beth_n \\ b_2 = a_{21}\beth_1 + a_{22}\beth_2 + \dots + a_{2n}\beth_n \\ \vdots \\ b_n = a_{n1}\beth_1 + a_{n2}\beth_2 + \dots + a_{nn}\beth_n \end{cases}$$

of  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknown has a unique solution.

*Proof.* Define  $f : G \rightarrow G$  by  $f(\beth) = A\beth + b$ , whenever  $\beth = (\beth_1, \beth_2, \dots, \beth_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $b =$

$$(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ and } A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S(f\beth, f\beth, f\aleph) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + i_2)(|\lambda_{ij}(\beth - \aleph)| + |\lambda_{ij}(\beth - \aleph)|) \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_{ij}| \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + i_2)(|\beth - \aleph| + |\beth - \aleph|) \right] \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + i_2)(|\beth - \aleph| + |\beth - \aleph|) \\ &= \lambda S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) \\ &= \lambda S(\beth, \beth, \aleph) + \frac{\mu S(\beth, \beth, f(\beth)) S(f(\aleph), f(\aleph), \aleph)}{1 + S(\beth, \beth, \aleph)}. \end{aligned}$$

All of Theorem 11's requirements are then fulfilled with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $\mu = 0$  and  $\lambda + \sqrt{2}\mu < 1$ . As a result, there is only one solution to the linear system of equations.  $\square$

## 5 Conclusions

All fixed point theorems of bicomplex valued S-metric spaces can be regarded as generalizations of fixed point theorems of bicomplex valued metric spaces, S-metric

\*\*\*\*\*

spaces and complex valued metric spaces. Therefore, studies of fixed point results in bicomplex valued S-metric spaces are significant.

## References

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher, and M. Khan, *Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, **32** (2011), 243-253. [MR2916851](#). [Zbl 1245.54036](#).
- [2] I. Beg, S. K. Datta, and D. Pal, *Fixed point in bicomplex valued metric spaces*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **12** (2021), 717-727.
- [3] J. Choi, S. K. Datta, T. Biswas and N. Islam, *Some fixed point theorems in connection with two weakly compatible mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces*, Honam Mathematical J., **39**(1) (2017) 115-126. [MR3676679](#). [Zbl 1376.30035](#).
- [4] S. K. Datta, D. Pal, N. Biswas, and R. Sarkar, *On the study of common fixed point theorem in a bicomplex valued b-metric spaces*, J. Cal. Math. Soc., **16** (2020), 73-94.
- [5] S. K. Datta, D. Pal, R. Sarkar and J. Saha, *Some common fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in bicomplex valued b-metric spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **112** (2021), 329-354.
- [6] N. V. Dung, N. T. Hieu, and S. Radojevic, *Fixed point theorems for g-monotone maps on partilaly ordered S-metric spaces*, Filomat, **28** (2014), 1885-1898. [Zbl 1462.54086](#).
- [7] I. H. Jebril, S. K. Datta, R. Sarkar and N. Biswas, *Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions for a pair of mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces*, J. Interdisciplinary Math., **22** (7) (2019), 1071-1082.
- [8] N. M. Mlaika, *Common fixed points in complex S-metric space*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2014), 509-524.
- [9] N. Y. Ozgur, and N. Taş, *The Picard Theorem on S-Metric Spaces*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **38** (2018), 1245-1258. [MR3816479](#). [Zbl 1438.34215](#).
- [10] K. Prudhvi, *Fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces*, Univers. J. Comput. Math., **3** (2015), 19-21.
- [11] S. Sedghi, and N. V. Dung, *Fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik, **66** (2014), 113-124. [MR3164931](#). [Zbl 1462.54102](#).

\*\*\*\*\*

- [12] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, and A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces*, Mat Vesnik, **64** (2012), 258-266. [MR2911870](#). [Zbl 1289.54158](#).
- [13] C. Segre, *Lerappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse e gli enti iperalgebrici*, Math. Ann., **40** (1892), 413-467. [MR1510728](#). [Zbl 24.0640.01](#).
- [14] G. Siva, *Bicomplex valued bipolar metric spaces and fixed point theorems*, Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications, **4** (2022), 29-43.
- [15] G. Siva, *Fixed points of contraction mappings with variations in S-metric space domains*, The Mathematics Student, **91** (2022), 173-185. [MR4602271](#).
- [16] G. Siva, and S. Loganathan, *Weak convergence of fixed point iterations in S-metric spaces*, Journal of Mahani Mathematical Research, **13** (2023), 565-576.

G. Siva  
Department of Mathematics, Alagappa University  
Karaikudi-630 003, India.  
e-mail: gsivamaths2012@gmail.com

## License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#). 

Received: August 15, 2023; Accepted: December 17, 2023;  
Published: December 18, 2023.

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **18** (2023), 329 – 341  
<https://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>